

JOURNAL OF PUBLIC HEALTH FOR TROPICAL AND COASTAL REGION (JPHTCR)

Journal homepage: http://ejournal2.undip.ac.id/index.php/jphtr/index ISSN: 2597-438

Prevalence and Factors Associated with Anxiety among Midwifery Students in Central Java Province during COVID-19 Pandemic

Feri Anita Wijayanti ^{1*}, Wahyu Dwi Agussafutri ², Tresia Umarianti³, Yunia Renny Andhikatias³, Desy Widyastutik³, Nanda Meilawati³

- ¹ Bachelor of Midwifery Program, Faculty of Medicine, Andalas University, Padang
- ² Graduate Diploma of Midwifery Program, Kusuma Husada University, Surakarta
- ³ Bachelor of Midwifery Program, Kusuma Husada University, Surakarta
- *Corresponding Author. Email: ferianitawijayanti@gmail.com

Abstract

Introduction: The government has issued 'the adaptation to new habits' policy as there was a rapid increase in COVID-19 cases. This study aimed to assess the prevalence of anxiety and analyse the associated factors of anxiety among midwifery students.

Method: A cross-sectional study was conducted among midwifery students who are living and studying in Central Java Province from October 1 to October 25, 2020 using convenience sampling. The number of participants who met the criteria in the study was 1135. The online self-reported questionnaire was developed, which comprised of sociodemographic characteristics, health-related information, and anxiety using The Zung's Self-rating Anxiety Scale. Data were analysed using descriptive statistics and binary logistic regression analysis with p-value <0.05 as the cut-off point for significance.

Results: The prevalence of anxiety comprised of mild (9.7%), moderate (1.4%), and severe (1%), respectively. Exposure to a suspected case (OR = 2.3; 95% CI: 1.2, 4.6; p = 0.02) and age<20 years (OR = 0.4; 95% CI: 0.5, 0.9, p = 0.04) were significantly associated with anxiety. Students who were having contact with suspected COVID-19 had a 2.8 times greater risk of having anxiety. Students aged < 20 years had a 1.53 times greater risk of anxiety than those aged ≥ 20 years.

Conclusions: This study reveals that exposure to a suspected case and less than 20 years old were the risk factors of a higher anxiety levels among the midwifery students. Prevention and impact reduction intervention should be conducted.

Keywords: anxiety, COVID-19 pandemic, midwifery students, exposure, suspected case

Article History: Received: 19th February 2022, revised: 9th April 2022, accepted: 9th April 2022

Introduction

Novel Corona Virus Disease (COVID-19) pandemic, which was the fifth pandemic after the 1918 flu pandemic, has rapidly spread worldwide. At the time of this writing, Indonesia had the highest number of confirmed cases in South East Asia countries, with 4,844,279 cases since

the first cases were reported.¹ The Indonesian government issued numerous policies, including establishing the Task Force for Rapid Response to COVID-19, implementing Big Scale Social Restriction (PSBB)², enforcing adaptation of new habits towards COVID-19, monitoring guidelines for domestic travellers at

airports and seaports³, launching riskzone categories for COVID-19⁴, developing health protocols in public spaces⁵, and tightening discipline for prevention and mitigation during the outbreak⁶.

The uncontrolled spread of the COVID-19 virus has impacted physical and psychological health.7,8 Students had no exception as the government closed all schools. including universities colleges, and enforced online learning at home starting from March 2020. Several studies have shown the mental health impacts of COVID-19, particularly anxiety.9-16 A study in the United States found that 71% of the students experienced stress and anxiety during the pandemic, influencing their sleeping habits, social interactions, and academic performance.17 Another study Bangladesh reported that more than twothirds of university students suffered from anxiety (87.7%) at mild to severe depression (82.4%).18 Those who were older^{16,18}, having contact with people who tested positive for COVID-19¹⁹, having contact with a person with suspected COVID-19 or contaminating subjects, and the use of social media for accessing information about COVID-19²⁰ significantly linked to an increased risk of COVID-19 related psychological problems.

During the pandemic, attending online classes and being isolated from friends made the process of learning more challenging. In addition, using protective equipment during clinical placements caused uncomfortable feeling for them.²⁹ These issues put the midwifery students at a greater risk for developing anxiety that may affect their academic life and future career. To our knowledge, there had been only few studies reporting anxiety and associated factors among midwifery students during COVID-19 pandemic. This study aimed to assess the anxiety prevalence and analyse the potential risk factors associated to anxiety among midwifery students in Central Java Province. The results of this study may provide some recommendations and guidance for the management

midwifery education during COVID-19 pandemic.

Methods

Study Population

A cross-sectional online study was conducted from October 1 to October 25, 2020, during COVID-19 pandemic among midwifery students in Central Java Province, Indonesia. Approximately 6382 midwifery students enrolled in 2019/2020 academic year in various institutions in Central Java Province. Convenient sampling was used in the study through the author's networks such as WhatsApp. by asking them to invite their friends to fill out the survey. Then, we forwarded messages to the head of midwifery study programs in Central Java and requested to share the link to their students. Finally, midwifery students were approached with the help of Facebook, Instagram, and The sample Telegram. size calculated based on a 3% margin of error and 95% confidence interval; the required sample size was 915. However, this study reached 1135 participants, which was larger than needed. The inclusion criteria were the midwifery students studying and living in Central Java Province, having social media accounts such as WhatsApp, Instagram, Facebook, and Telegram and willing to participate in the study.

Instruments and Procedure

The online self-reported questionnaire was developed and sent to midwifery students in Central Province. The questionnaire was divided into three sections that covered 1) a brief introduction part of the study, purpose and voluntary participation, 2) sociodemographic characteristics of respondents (age, education, year of study, current staying status, type of learning activities, Covid-19 zone status, perceived health status (symptoms in the last week), exposure to a confirmed case, exposure to a person with COVID-19 symptoms, and acceptance of adapting new habits policy) and 3) anxiety during the adaptation of new habits towards COVID-19.

Anxiety during the adaptation of new habits of the COVID-19 pandemic was measured using the Indonesian version of Self Rating Anxiety Scale (SAS) and was based on a previous study (Cronbach's alpha 0.691).21 The Zung Self-Rating Anxiety Scale (SAS) was a 20item self-report item to measure anxiety symptoms with a total score ranging from 20 to 80.22 Each item was rated on a fourpoint Likert scale ranging from 4 to 1 (a little of the time, some of the time, good part of the time, and most of the time). Some items were scored on a 1-4 scale to avoid the problem of set response. The raw score was multiplied by 1.25 to produce an index score. An index score of 50 was used as the cut-off score for clinical significance in this study. The total of SAS index score was divided into ≤ 49 (normal), 50-59 (Mild), 60-69 (Moderate), and ≥ 70 (Severe). 23, 24 A pilot test was conducted in midwifery institutions in Yogyakarta Province that included 30 students completing the survey. The results of the pilot study were utilized to modify language and content to meet the aim required (Cronbach's Alpha 0.828).

Data Analysis

Data were analyzed with SPSS version 23. The statistical significance was set at 5%, with a P-value of < 0.05 in all tests. An analysis of descriptive statistics was used to describe sociodemographic characteristics and health-related information by providing means and frequency distribution of the participants (categorical variables). Quantitative measures of anxiety were converted into categorical anxiety groups using cut-off index scores. Students who had scored above 50 (index score) on the SAS questionnaire were considered to be in the anxiety level, while those who scored below were considered to be in the nonanxiety group.²⁴ Bivariate binary logistic regression analysis was used, and the variables with the p-value of <0.25 was considered candidate as а multivariate logistic regression model. In multivariate logistic regression, variables with p-value <0.05 were reported as statistically significant. The associations between risk factors and anxiety were

presented as the odds ratio (OR) and a 95% confidence interval (CI).

Results

The sociodemographic characteristics and health-related information of the study are shown in table Totally, 1418 students from 45 midwifery schools in Central Province completed the survey. Only 1135 participants were included in the data analysis, and 283 were excluded due to living outside Central Java province and duplication. About 54.4% of participants were older than 20 years old. Most participants studied at the diploma level (76.7%), and 63.8% attended online classes. More than ninety percent of students had no contact with a confirmed or suspect to a person with COVID-19. Most of the participants agreed with the implementation of adapting new habits policy towards COVID-19 (88.3%). Further information about sociodemographic data and health-related data are shown in Table 1.

Level of anxiety during the COVID-19 pandemic

Table 2 shows the categories of anxiety levels among midwifery students during the COVID-19 pandemic in Central Java Province. Based on Zung's Anxiety Index, of the 1135 students, approximately 87.9% had no anxiety symptoms, whereas the prevalence of students with mild, moderate, and severe anxiety were 9.7%, 1.4%, and 1%, respectively.

Factors associated with students' anxiety during the COVID-19 pandemic

Significant variables from the univariate analyses that were significant at the 0.25 level (see Table 3) were entered into logistic regression analysis. Predictor variables like age, education, year of study, exposure to confirmed case, exposure to suspected case, acceptance of the adaptation to new habits policy were identified as statistically significant. However. we excluded exposure to confirmed case to avoid a multi-collinearity.

Table 1. Descriptive statistics for sociodemographic characteristics, health-related information. October 2020 (n=1135)

information, October 2020 (n=1135)		
Characteristics	N	%
Age		
< 20 years	517	45.5
≥ 20 years	618	54.4
Education		
Diploma	871	76.7
Bachelor and postgraduate	264	23.3
Length of study		
< 3 years	693	61.1
≥ 3 years	442	38.9
Type of learning		
Online	725	63.8
Offline	71	6.3
Online and offline	339	29.9
Currently staying with		
Living with friends or families	1118	98.5
Living alone	17	1.5
Zone status		
Green	226	19,9
Yellow	315	27,7
Orange	263	23.2
Red	331	29.2
Perceived health status (symptoms in the two weeks)	alast	
No	1120	98.7
Yes (more than two symptoms)	15	1.3
Exposure to a confirmed case		
No	1044	92.0
Yes	91	8.0
Exposure to a person with COVI symptoms	D-19	
No	1072	94.4
Yes	63	5.6
Acceptance of the adaptation to new h	abits	
policy		
No	133	11.7
Yes	1002	88.3

Table 2. Anxiety levels during the COVID-19 pandemic among midwifery students in

Central Java, October 2020 (n=1135)

Anxiety levels	N	%
Normal	998	87.9
Mild	110	9.7
Moderate	16	1.4
Severe	11	1.0
Total	1135	100.0

Table 3. Sociodemographic characteristics and health related factors and psychological assessments of students with anxiety, October 2020 (n=1135)

Age 2 20 years 443 39.0 74 6.5 0.68 0.48 0.97 0.03* ≥ 20 years 555 48.9 63 5.6 Education Diploma 757 66.7 114 10.0 0.63 0.40 1.02 0.04* Bachelor and postgraduate 241 21.2 23 2 20 20 20.04* <th>Characteristics</th> <th colspan="2">Non-anxiety</th> <th>Anxie</th> <th colspan="2">Anxiety</th> <th colspan="2">95% CI</th> <th>P</th>	Characteristics	Non-anxiety		Anxie	Anxiety		95% CI		P
Age 443 39.0 74 6.5 0.68 0.48 0.97 0.03° ≥ 20 years 555 48.9 63 5.6 63 5.6 5.6 66.7 114 10.0 0.63 0.40 1.02 0.04° Bachelor and postgraduate 241 21.2 23 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 0.80 0.55 1.16 0.23° 2 3 2 2 3 2 2 3 2 2 2 2 2 3 2 3 2 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 4 3 4		N	%	Ν	%				
< 20 years							Lower	Upper	
≥ 20 years 555 48.9 63 5.6 Education Diploma 757 66.7 114 10.0 0.63 0.40 1.02 0.04* Bachelor and postgraduate 241 21.2 23 2 2 0.63 0.40 1.02 0.04* Year of study 395 34.8 47 4.1 4.1 1.00 0.55 1.16 0.23* ≥ 3 years 395 34.8 47 4.1 4.1 1.00 0.55 1.16 0.23* ≥ 3 years 395 34.8 47 4.1 1.33 0.89 2.02 0.38 Offline 62 5.5 9 0.8 0.0 0.55 1.16 0.23* Currently staying staying with 1.33 0.89 2.02 0.38 Currently staying 86.5 136 12 2.21 0.29 16.84 0.39 d families 1.24 1 0.1 2.2 2.21 0.29 16.84 0.39									
Education						0.68	0.48	0.97	0.03*
Diploma	-	555	48.9	63	5.6				
Bachelor and postgraduate Year of study									
Year of study < 3 years						0.63	0.40	1.02	0.04*
Year of study 3 years 603 53.1 90 7.9 0.80 0.55 1.16 0.23* ≥ 3 years 395 34.8 47 4.1 Type of learning Online 631 55.6 94 8.3 1.33 0.89 2.02 0.38 Offline 62 5.5 9 0.8 0.94 0.30 0.89 2.02 0.38 Online and offline 62 5.5 9 0.8 0.09 0.8 0.09 0.08 0.09 0.08 0.09 0.08 0.09 0.08 0.09 0.08 0.09 0.08 0.09 0.08 0.09 0.08 0.09		241	21.2	23	2				
< 3 years									
≥ 3 years 395 34.8 47 4.1 Type of learning Online 631 55.6 94 8.3 1.33 0.89 2.02 0.38 Offline 62 5.5 9 0.8 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.02 0.02 0.38 Online and offline 305 26.9 34 3.0 0.02 0.03 0.02 0.03 <									
Type of learning						0.80	0.55	1.16	0.23*
Online 631 55.6 94 8.3 1.33 0.89 2.02 0.38 Offline 62 5.5 9 0.8 Online and offline 305 26.9 34 3.0 Currently staying with Living with friends of families Living alone 16 1.4 1 0.1 Zone status Green 198 17.4 28 2.5 0.94 0.57 1.58 0.78 Yellow 282 24.8 33 2.9 0.94 0.57 1.58 0.78 Yellow 282 24.8 33 2.9 0.94 0.57 1.58 0.78 Perceived health status (symptoms in the last week) No 986 86.9 134 11.8 1.84 0.51 6.60 0.38 Yes (more than 12 1.1 3 0.3 1.91 1.1 3.3 0.02* Yes 73 6.4		395	34.8	47	4.1				
Offline 62 5.5 9 0.8 Online and offline 305 26.9 34 3.0 Currently staying with Living with friends of families Living alone 16 1.4 1 0.1 Zone status Green 198 17.4 28 2.5 0.94 0.57 1.58 0.78 Yellow 282 24.8 33 2.9 0.94 0.57 1.58 0.78 Yellow 282 24.8 33 2.9 0.94 0.57 1.58 0.78 Yellow 282 25.4 43 3.8 2.9 0.94 0.57 1.58 0.78 Perceived health status (symptoms in the last week) 8 1.1 3 0.3 3 2.9 1.84 0.51 6.60 0.38 Yes (more than 12 1.1 3 0.3 1.91 1.1 3.3 0.02* Yes 73 <th< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></th<>									
Online and offline 305 26.9 34 3.0			55.6	94		1.33	0.89	2.02	0.38
Currently staying with Living with friends of families 982 86.5 136 12 2.21 0.29 16.84 0.39 Living alone 16 1.4 1 0.1 1.58 0.78 Zone status Green 198 17.4 28 2.5 0.94 0.57 1.58 0.78 Yellow 282 24.8 33 2.9 0.94 0.57 1.58 0.78 Perceived health status (symptoms in the last week) No 986 86.9 134 11.8 1.84 0.51 6.60 0.38 Yes (more than 12 1.1 3 0.3 0.3 0.0 0.88 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.00		62	5.5	9	0.8				
with Living with friends of families 982 86.5 136 12 2.21 0.29 16.84 0.39 Living alone 16 1.4 1 0.1 200 16.84 0.39 Green 198 17.4 28 2.5 0.94 0.57 1.58 0.78 Yellow 282 24.8 33 2.9 0.94 0.57 1.58 0.78 Red 288 25.4 43 3.8 0.9 3.8 0.9 1.84 1.84 0.51 6.60 0.38 Perceived health status (symptoms in the last week) 8 1.2 1.1 3 0.3 0.51 6.60 0.38 Yes (more than 12 1.1 3 0.3 0.51 6.60 0.38 Exposure to a suspected case 73 6.4 18 1.6 1.91 1.1 3.3 0.02* Table 3. Continued Yes 47 4.1 16 1.4 4.87 0.00* </td <td>Online and offline</td> <td>305</td> <td>26.9</td> <td>34</td> <td>3.0</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	Online and offline	305	26.9	34	3.0				
Living with friends 982 86.5 136 12 2.21 0.29 16.84 0.39 of families Living alone 16 1.4 1 0.1 Zone status Green 198 17.4 28 2.5 0.94 0.57 1.58 0.78 Yellow 282 24.8 33 2.9 Orange 230 20.3 33 2.9 Red 288 25.4 43 3.8 Perceived health status (symptoms in the last week) No 986 86.9 134 11.8 1.84 0.51 6.60 0.38 Yes (more than 12 1.1 3 0.3 0.3 0.3 0.3 0.3 0.3 0.3 0.3 0.3 0	Currently staying								
Continued Cont	with								
Living alone 16	Living with friends	982	86.5	136	12	2.21	0.29	16.84	0.39
School	of families								
Green 198 17.4 28 2.5 0.94 0.57 1.58 0.78 Yellow 282 24.8 33 2.9 0.94 0.57 1.58 0.78 Red 288 25.4 43 3.8 0.9 3.8 0.9 0.94 0.57 1.58 0.78 Perceived health status (symptoms in the last week) 0.00	Living alone	16	1.4	1	0.1				
Yellow 282 24.8 33 2.9 Orange 230 20.3 33 2.9 Red 288 25.4 43 3.8 Perceived health status (symptoms in the last week) No 986 86.9 134 11.8 1.84 0.51 6.60 0.38 Yes (more than 12 1.1 3 0.3 0.3 0.3 0.3 0.03 0.03 0.03 0.03 0.03 0.03 0.03 0.03 0.03 0.00 0.03 0.00 0.03 0.00 0.03 0.00 0.03 0.00 0.03 0.00 0.03 0.00 0.03 0.00 0.03 0.00 0.03 0.00	Zone status								
Orange 230 20.3 33 2.9 Red 288 25.4 43 3.8 Perceived health status (symptoms in the last week) No 986 86.9 134 11.8 1.84 0.51 6.60 0.38 Yes (more than 12 1.1 3 0.3 0.3 0.3 0.3 0.3 0.3 0.3 0.3 0.3 0.3 0.2 <t< td=""><td>Green</td><td>198</td><td>17.4</td><td>28</td><td>2.5</td><td>0.94</td><td>0.57</td><td>1.58</td><td>0.78</td></t<>	Green	198	17.4	28	2.5	0.94	0.57	1.58	0.78
Red 288 25.4 43 3.8 Perceived health status (symptoms in the last week) No 986 86.9 134 11.8 1.84 0.51 6.60 0.38 Yes (more than 12 1.1 3 0.3 3 0.3 0.02 0.03 0.04 0.03 0.04 0.03 0.04 0.04 0.03 0.04 0.04 <t< td=""><td>Yellow</td><td>282</td><td>24.8</td><td>33</td><td>2.9</td><td></td><td rowspan="2"></td><td rowspan="2"></td><td rowspan="2"></td></t<>	Yellow	282	24.8	33	2.9				
No	Orange	230	20.3	33	2.9	<u> </u>			
status (symptoms in the last week) No 986 86.9 134 11.8 1.84 0.51 6.60 0.38 Yes (more than 12 1.1 3 0.3 two symptoms) 3 0.3 two symptoms) Exposure to a confirmed case 5 119 10.5 1.91 1.1 3.3 0.02* Yes 73 6.4 18 1.6 1.91 1.1 3.3 0.02* Exposure to a suspected case 5 121 10.7 2.68 1.47 4.87 0.00* No 951 83.8 121 10.7 2.68 1.47 4.87 0.00* Table 3. Continued 47 4.1 16 1.4 Acceptance of the adaptation to new habits policy 0.61 0.38 1.00 0.04* No 110 9.7 23 2.0 0.61 0.38 1.00 0.04*	Red	288	25.4	43	3.8				
No	Perceived health								
No 986 86.9 134 11.8 1.84 0.51 6.60 0.38 Yes (more than 12 1.1 3 0.3 two symptoms) Exposure to a confirmed case 3 3 0.3 No 925 81.5 119 10.5 1.91 1.1 3.3 0.02* Yes 73 6.4 18 1.6 1.91 1.1 3.3 0.02* Exposure to a suspected case 4 10.7 2.68 1.47 4.87 0.00* Table 3. Continued 47 4.1 16 1.4 Acceptance of the adaptation to new habits policy 0.61 0.38 1.00 0.04* No 110 9.7 23 2.0 0.61 0.38 1.00 0.04*	status (symptoms								
Yes (more than 12 two symptoms) 1.1 3 0.3 Exposure to a confirmed case 81.5 119 10.5 1.91 1.1 3.3 0.02* Yes 73 6.4 18 1.6 1.91 1.1 3.3 0.02* Exposure to a suspected case 2.68 1.47 4.87 0.00* No 951 83.8 121 10.7 2.68 1.47 4.87 0.00* Table 3. Continued Yes 47 4.1 16 1.4 Acceptance of the adaptation to new habits policy 0.61 0.38 1.00 0.04* No 110 9.7 23 2.0	in the last week)								
two symptoms) Exposure to a confirmed case No 925 81.5 119 10.5 1.91 1.1 3.3 0.02* Yes 73 6.4 18 1.6 Exposure to a suspected case No 951 83.8 121 10.7 2.68 1.47 4.87 0.00* Table 3. Continued Yes 47 4.1 16 1.4 Acceptance of the adaptation to new habits policy No 110 9.7 23 2.0	No	986	86.9	134	11.8	1.84	0.51	6.60	0.38
No 925 81.5 119 10.5 1.91 1.1 3.3 0.02* Yes 73 6.4 18 1.6 Exposure to a suspected case No 951 83.8 121 10.7 2.68 1.47 4.87 0.00* Table 3. Continued Yes 47 4.1 16 1.4 Acceptance of the adaptation to new habits policy No 110 9.7 23 2.0	Yes (more than	12	1.1	3	0.3				_
Confirmed case No 925 81.5 119 10.5 1.91 1.1 3.3 0.02* Yes 73 6.4 18 1.6 Exposure to a suspected case 83.8 121 10.7 2.68 1.47 4.87 0.00* Table 3. Continued Yes 47 4.1 16 1.4 Acceptance of the adaptation to new habits policy 0.61 0.38 1.00 0.04* No 110 9.7 23 2.0 0.61 0.38 1.00 0.04*	two symptoms)								
No 925 81.5 119 10.5 1.91 1.1 3.3 0.02* Yes 73 6.4 18 1.6 Exposure to a suspected case 83.8 121 10.7 2.68 1.47 4.87 0.00* Table 3. Continued Yes 47 4.1 16 1.4 Acceptance of the adaptation to new habits policy 0.61 0.38 1.00 0.04* No 110 9.7 23 2.0 0.61 0.38 1.00 0.04*	Exposure to a								
Yes 73 6.4 18 1.6 Exposure to a suspected case 83.8 121 10.7 2.68 1.47 4.87 0.00* Table 3. Continued Yes 47 4.1 16 1.4 Acceptance of the adaptation to new habits policy 0.61 0.38 1.00 0.04* No 110 9.7 23 2.0	confirmed case								
Exposure to a suspected case No 951 83.8 121 10.7 2.68 1.47 4.87 0.00* Table 3. Continued Yes 47 4.1 16 1.4 Acceptance of the adaptation to new habits policy No 110 9.7 23 2.0	No	925	81.5	119	10.5	1.91	1.1	3.3	0.02*
Suspected case No 951 83.8 121 10.7 2.68 1.47 4.87 0.00* Table 3. Continued Yes 47 4.1 16 1.4 Acceptance of the adaptation to new habits policy No 110 9.7 23 2.0	Yes	73	6.4	18	1.6				
Suspected case No 951 83.8 121 10.7 2.68 1.47 4.87 0.00* Table 3. Continued Yes 47 4.1 16 1.4 Acceptance of the adaptation to new habits policy No 110 9.7 23 2.0	Exposure to a								
No 951 83.8 121 10.7 2.68 1.47 4.87 0.00* Table 3. Continued Yes 47 4.1 16 1.4 Acceptance of the adaptation to new habits policy 0.61 0.38 1.00 0.04* No 110 9.7 23 2.0 0.61 0.38 1.00 0.04*									
Table 3. Continued Yes 47 4.1 16 1.4 Acceptance of the adaptation to new habits policy No 110 9.7 23 2.0 0.61 0.38 1.00 0.04*		951	83.8	121	10.7	2.68	1.47	4.87	0.00*
Yes 47 4.1 16 1.4 Acceptance of the adaptation to new habits policy No 110 9.7 23 2.0 0.61 0.38 1.00 0.04*									
Acceptance of the adaptation to new habits policy No 110 9.7 23 2.0 0.61 0.38 1.00 0.04*	Table 3. Continued								
adaptation to new habits policy No 110 9.7 23 2.0 0.61 0.38 1.00 0.04*	Yes	47	4.1	16	1.4				
habits policy 0.61 0.38 1.00 0.04* No 110 9.7 23 2.0	Acceptance of the								
No 110 9.7 23 2.0	adaptation to new								
	habits policy					0.61	0.38	1.00	0.04*
Yes 888 78.2 114 10.0	No	110	9.7	23	2.0				
	Yes	888	78.2	114	10.0				

Table 4 showed the logistic regression analyses of associated factors with anxiety among midwifery students in Central Java Province during the COVID-19 pandemic. As presented in the table 4, exposure to suspected case (OR = 2.83, 95% CI = 1.55-5.12, p = 0.00) was significantly associated with anxiety. This

means that students exposed to a suspected case were 2.83 times more likely to suffer from anxiety than those who had not been exposed to a suspected case. We also found that students aged < 20 years had 1.53 times greater risk of anxiety than those aged ≥ 20 years.

Table 4. Logistic regression analyses on factors associated with anxiety, October 2020 (n=1135)

2020 (II= I 133)							
Variables	Anxiety		P-Value	Unadjusted ratio (95% CI)	Adjusted ratio (95% CI)		
	Non anxiety		Anxiety		_		
	N	%	N	%			
Age					0.02	0.74 (0.46-1.19)	1.53 (1.07-2.2)
≥ 20 years	555	89.8%	63	10.2%			
< 20 years	443	85.7%	74	14.3%			
Exposure to a suspected case					0.00	2.79 (1.52-5.15)	2.83 (1.55-5.12)
No	951	83.8	121	10.7			
Yes	888	78.2	114	10.0			

Discussion

This study examined anxietv among midwifery students in Central Java province in October 2020, several months after the government announced the adaptation of new habits towards COVID-19 policy. We found that the prevalence of anxiety among midwifery students was 12.1%, with mild, moderate, and severe anxiety being 9.7%, 1.4%, and 1%, respectively. Compared to our findings, previous studies reported the higher prevalence of anxiety among university students in several countries including Ethiopia (27.7%)⁹, Saudi Arabia (49%)¹⁰, Bangladesh (44.59%) 18, US (30.6%) 14, France (27.5%) 11, Morocco (62.3%) 15, Malaysia (29.8%) ²⁵, China (26.6%) ¹³ and Pakistan (41.3%)¹². However, a study in China among 746.217 college students found that 11% of the experienced anxiety¹⁶ which was lower than our results. The possible reason for the difference in the anxiety's prevalence might be due to lockdown policies, different survev methods and measurements for anxiety, the time of data collection, and recruitment of students with various age groups.

The results of logistic regression analysis indicated that age significantly associated to anxiety among midwifery students. These findings were consistent with the earlier studies. 16, 25-27 Previous studies among students in Malaysia and Bangladesh reported that older students had a higher level of anxiety than younger students. 18,27 In contrast, another study found that older Malaysian students had lower anxiety scores. 16 The pandemic has affected the use of social media for seeking more important information related to COVID-19 or sometimes broadcasting contradicting information which may trigger anxiety among young people.²⁵

One surprising finding was the association between exposure with a suspected case and anxiety; students who were exposed with a suspected person of COVID-19 had 2.83 times higher risk of developing anxiety compared to those who were not exposed with a suspected person of COVID-19. Bao et al. (2020)

stated that a surge in the number of infected and suspected individuals during the pandemic had elevated students' anxiety levels.²⁸ This finding may be related to the high contagiousness of COVID-19 that increases the fear of being infected by a person with unknown health status.

This study had some limitations. Firstly, this study was conducted crosssectionally, carried out at Central Java province, which is only one province in Indonesia: therefore, it cannot be generalized for Indonesian midwifery used students. Secondly, we probability sampling methods participants were selected based on accessibility and availability. Thirdly, this study relied upon online and self-reported questionnaires, which had a high chance of errors. However, we collected a large sample size (1,135 participants) that allowed us to conduct a robust study analysis. Also, data were collected during the adaptation of the new habits period, which offered a unique chance to investigate the mental health impacts of this period among students.

Conclusion

In conclusion, our findings added new evidence that older than 20 years old and exposure to the suspected case were the associated factors for developing anxiety during the adaptation of new habits towards COVID-19 among midwifery students. Therefore, findings can provide insight for the government and policymakers to create strategies for addressing mental health problems among students and reducing the impact of associated factors of anxiety. In addition, further studies are needed to explore the causes of the anxiety and how to reduce the anxiety of students.

Ethics approval

This study was approved by the health research ethics committee of Dr. Moewardi General Hospital, Surakarta (approval no. 1.080/IX/HREC/2020). We conducted the survey with the agreement of participants who completed the survey. Participation in this study was voluntary,

and the participants' information was kept confidential. We provided an informed consent before filling the questionnaire.

Availability of data and materials Available

Acknowledgment

We would like to thank all midwifery students who participated in this study. Further, we would like to thank Kusuma Husada University, Surakarta, Central Java for the support. We acknowledge all heads of midwifery study programs in Central Java for their assistance in survey distribution.

Funding

This research was funded by Kusuma Husada University, Surakarta, Central Java.

Author Contribution

FAW designed and wrote the manuscript. WDA and YRA helped to check errors in grammatical and spelling aspects, TU analyzed the data, DW and NM collected sample and distributed the survey questionnaires.

References

- Indonesia Health of Ministry. Situasi Terkini Perkembangan Novel Coronavirus (COVID-19): Data dilaporkan sampai 14 Februari 2022. 2022.
- Djalante R, Lassa J, Setiamarga D, Sudjatma A, Indrawan M, Haryanto B, et al. Review and analysis of current responses to COVID-19 in Indonesia: Period of January to March 2020. Progress in Disaster Science. 2020;6:100091-.
- 3. Indonesia Health of Ministry. Surat Edaran Nomor HK.02.01/Menkes/382/2020 tentang Protokol Pengawasan Pelaku Perjalanan dalam Negeri di Bandar Udara dan Pelabuhan dalam rangka Penerapan Kehidupan Masyarakat Produktif dan Aman terhadap Corona Virus Disease 2019 (COVID-19). 2020.

- World Health Organization. Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) Situation Report - 10 : Data as of: 03 June 2020. 2020.
- Indonesia Health of Ministry. Keputusan Menteri Kesehatan no. HK. 01.07/Menkes/382/2020 tentang Protokol Kesehatan bagi Masyarakat di Tempat dan Fasilitas Umum dalam Rangka Pencegahan dan Pengendalian Corona Virus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) 2020. Available from: http://hukor.kemkes.go.id/uploads/pro duk_hukum/KMK_No__HK_01_07-
 - MENKES-382-2020_ttg_Protokol_Kesehatan_Bagi_ Masyarakat_di_Tempat_dan_Fasilitas _Umum_Dalam_Rangka_Pencegaha n_COVID-19.pdf.
- Indonesia Po. Instruksi Presiden (INPRES) tentang Peningkatan Disiplin dan Penegakan Hukum Protokol Kesehatan Dalam Pencegahan dan Pengendalian Corona Virus Disease 2019 2020. Available from: https://peraturan.bpk.go.id/Home/Det ails/142625/inpres-no-6-tahun-2020#:~:text=INPRES%20No.%206% 20Tahun%202020.Disease%202019 %20%5BJDIH%20BPK%20RI%5D
- Elhessewi GMS, Almoayad F, Mahboub S, Alhashem AM, Fiala L. Psychological distress and its risk factors during COVID-19 pandemic in Saudi Arabia: a cross-sectional study. Middle East Current Psychiatry. 2021;28(1):7.
- Wang C, Pan R, Wan X, Tan Y, Xu L, McIntyre RS, et al. A longitudinal study on the mental health of general population during the COVID-19 epidemic in China. Brain, Behavior, and Immunity. 2020.
- 9. Aylie NS, Mekonen MA, Mekuria RM. The Psychological Impacts of COVID-19 Pandemic Among University Students in Bench-Sheko Zone, South-west Ethiopia: A Community-based Cross-sectional Study. Psychol Res Behav Manag. 2020;13:813-21.
- Samreen S, Siddiqui NA, Mothana RA. Prevalence of Anxiety and Associated Factors among Pharmacy

- Students in Saudi Arabia: a Cross-Sectional Study. BioMed Research International. 2020;2436538.
- 11. Wathelet M, Duhem S, Vaiva G, Baubet T, Habran E, Veerapa E, et al. Factors Associated With Mental Health Disorders Among University Students in France Confined During the COVID-19 Pandemic. JAMA network open. 2020;3(10):e2025591-e.
- 12. Baloch GM, Sundarasen S, Chinna K. COVID-19: exploring impacts of the pandemic and lockdown on mental health of Pakistani students. 2021;9:e10612.
- 13. Chang J, Yuan Y, Wang D. Mental health status and its influencing factors among college students during the epidemic of COVID-19. Nan Fang Yi Ke Da Xue Xue Bao. 2020;40(2):171-6.
- 14. Halperin SJ, Henderson MN, Prenner S, Grauer JN. Prevalence of Anxiety and Depression Among Medical Students During the Covid-19 Pandemic: A Cross-Sectional Study. Journal of Medical Education and Curricular Development. 2021;8:2382120521991150.
- 15. Essangri H, Sabir M, Benkabbou A, Majbar MA, Amrani L, Ghannam A, et al. Predictive Factors for Impaired Mental Health among Medical Students during the Early Stage of the COVID-19 Pandemic in Morocco. The American journal of tropical medicine and hygiene. 2021;104(1):95-102.
- 16. Ma Z, Zhao J, Li Y, Chen D, Wang T, Zhang Z, et al. Mental health problems and correlates among 746 217 college students during the coronavirus disease 2019 outbreak in China. Epidemiol Psychiatr Sci. 2020;29:e181-e.
- Son C, Hegde S, Smith A, Wang X, Sasangohar F. Effects of COVID-19 on College Students' Mental Health in the United States: Interview Survey Study. J Med Internet Res. 2020;22(9):e21279-e.
- Islam MA, Barna SD, Raihan H, Khan MNA, Hossain MT. Depression and anxiety among university students during the COVID-19 pandemic in

- Bangladesh: A web-based cross-sectional survey. PLOS ONE. 2020;15(8):e0238162.
- 19. Cao W, Fang Z, Hou G, Han M, Xu X, Dong J, et al. The psychological impact of the COVID-19 epidemic on college students in China. Psychiatry Res. 2020;287:112934.
- 20. Wang C, Pan R, Wan X, Tan Y, Xu L, Ho CS. Immediate Psychological Responses and Associated Factors during the Initial Stage of the 2019 Coronavirus Disease (COVID-19) Epidemic among the General Population in China. 2020;17(5).
- 21. Setyowati A, Chung M-H, Yusuf A. Development of self-report assessment tool for anxiety among adolescents: Indonesian version of the Zung self-rating anxiety scale. Journal of Public Health in Africa. 2019;10(1s).
- 22. Zung WW. A rating instrument for anxiety disorders. Psychosomatics: Journal of Consultation and Liaison Psychiatry. 1971;12(6):371-9.
- 23. Dunstan DA, Scott N. Assigning clinical significance and symptom severity using the Zung scales: Levels of misclassification arising from confusion between index and raw scores. Depression Research and Treatment. 2018.
- 24. Zung WW. How normal is anxiety?: Upjohn Company; 1980.
- 25. Sundarasen S, Chinna K, Kamaludin K. Psychological Impact of COVID-19 and Lockdown among University Students in Malaysia: Implications and Policy Recommendations. 2020;17(17).
- 26. Kalok A, Sharip S, Abdul Hafizz AM, Zainuddin ZM, Shafiee MN. The Psychological Impact of Movement Restriction during the COVID-19 Outbreak on Clinical Undergraduates: A Cross-Sectional Study. International journal of environmental research and public health. 2020;17(22):8522.
- 27. Shamsuddin K, Fadzil F, Ismail WS, Shah SA, Omar K, Muhammad NA, et al. Correlates of depression, anxiety and stress among Malaysian university students. Asian J Psychiatr. 2013;6(4):318-23.

- 28. Bao Y, Sun Y, Meng S, Shi J, Lu L. 2019-nCoV epidemic: address mental health care to empower society. Lancet. 2020;395(10224):e37-e8.
- 29. Kuliukas L, Hauck Y, Sweet L, et al. A cross sectional study of midwifery students' experiences of COVID-19: Uncertainty and expendability. Nurse Educ Pract. 2021;51:102988. doi:10.1016/j.nepr.2021.102988