

Research Article

Community-Based Correction (CBC): Efforts to Achieve a Balance Between Rehabilitation and Social Justice

Hamja
Fakultas Hukum, Universitas Wiralodra
hamja.hamja904@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

The criminal justice system in Indonesia has long played an important role in shaping societal norms and values, preventing crime, and ensuring public safety, thus fostering a secure and conducive environment. The concept of Community-Based Correction (CBC) has emerged as a progressive alternative that emphasizes a balance between rehabilitation principles and social justice. The purpose of this study is to analyze philosophical aspects, including reintegration and rehabilitation, restorative justice practices, community involvement and support, as well as the challenges and ethical considerations of community-based correction. The method used in this study is a normative juridical approach with philosophical analysis. The research concludes that the philosophy of community-based correction offers a glimmer of hope in the evolving criminal justice landscape. It emphasizes reintegration, rehabilitation, and restorative justice, challenging the punitive model as a comprehensive preventive solution. As society grapples with the complexities of criminal justice, community-based correction presents a promising way forward—an approach aimed not only at rehabilitating offenders but also healing communities and addressing systemic inequalities, ultimately creating a safer and fairer society.

Keywords: *Legal System; Philosophy of Law; Correctional Institutions; Community-Based Correction.*

A. INTRODUCTION

The justice system is an integral part of the societal order (Adawiyah & Rozah, 2020). The goal of this system is to prevent crime and ensure public safety, thus creating a secure, peaceful, and conducive environment (Darwis, 2020). However, traditional punitive approaches, such as detention, have become instruments that attract additional attention due to their limited effectiveness in reducing recidivism rates, as well as the harmful impact felt by inmates due to the excessive and disproportionate stigma attached to them, which leads to the formation of

marginalized communities (Mulyono & Arief, 2016). In response to these challenges, the concept of community-based correction emerged as a progressive alternative, advocating for a balanced integration of rehabilitation principles and social justice. This innovative philosophy seeks to address the root causes of criminal behavior, promote healing for victims and communities, and correct systemic inequalities within the criminal justice system (Rahardiawan & Subroto, 2023).

In the realm of criminal justice and crime, traditional punitive measures have long been the

primary approach used to reduce crime rates. However, as societal thinking and perspectives evolve, along with the philosophy of justice enforcement, an alternative penal system has emerged that adopts a rehabilitative approach with community involvement (Handoyo, 2018). This innovative and transformative approach aims to bridge the gap between punishment and rehabilitation by emphasizing reintegration into society as a means to reduce recidivism and promote long-term societal harmony. Community-based correction essentially encourages the transformation of conventional correctional institutions through community involvement, as opposed to the isolation approach (Aziz & Subroto, 2022). Society, as the foundation for the functioning of the law, plays a critical role in the development of law and social structure.

At its core, the philosophy of community-based corrections advocates the idea that offenders are not isolated entities to be removed from society, but individuals whose actions are driven by various fundamental factors such as social, economic, and psychological complexities (Turangan et al., 2021). This perspective acknowledges that punishment in the traditional sense often exacerbates criminal tendencies due to the disconnection of individuals from social networks, employment opportunities, and efforts to atone for their wrongdoings to society (Zulyadi & Hossain, 2022). On the other hand, community-based correction is an approach that emphasizes holistic and collaborative values, aiming to

transform offenders into responsible and productive citizens through a combination of local support, therapeutic interventions, and restorative justice principles (Johnson, 2021).

In an era marked by growing awareness of the shortcomings of traditional punitive measures, the philosophy of community-based corrections emerges as a forward-thinking paradigm that prioritizes the well-being of offenders and their communities. With the complex phenomena of crime, punishment, and reintegration into society, the principles and practices of community-based correction stand as beacons of transformation, improvement, rehabilitation, and the strengthening of social responsibility through a restorative approach. As the criminal justice system continues to evolve, the paradigm of punitive actions as the primary response to criminal behavior gives way to a more nuanced, future-oriented approach. This paradigm shift, realized through the philosophy of community-based corrections, represents a transformative deviation from the conventional punishment model (Stamatakis & Vandeviver, 2013).

Historically, the primary focus of the correctional system has centered on isolation and punishment for offenders, relegating them to life behind bars, often detached from the communities they come from. However, reflecting on the underlying realities of criminal behavior and its social impact, it becomes clear that a singular punitive approach has its limitations (Faniyansyah, 2021). The philosophy of

community-based corrections seeks to transcend these limitations, recognizing that criminal actions often arise from the complex interaction of socioeconomic factors, personal histories, and environmental influences. This paradigm acknowledges that addressing criminality requires a comprehensive approach that considers the broader context and the individual needs of offenders (Denney & Goulette, 2019).

At its core, the philosophy of community-based correction reshapes the role of the criminal justice system into one that is not solely focused on punishment, but rather sees it as an opportunity for transformation. By redirecting the focus toward community reintegration and personal growth, this philosophy fosters the potential for lasting change within individuals who have broken the law (Athallah & Subroto, 2022). This concept shifts the discourse from retribution to rehabilitation, advocating the belief that individuals can be reintegrated into productive roles in their communities through deliberate support, therapeutic interventions, and a commitment to repairing the harm caused (Zahra & Sularto, 2017).

In the development of punishment theory, there are four primary classifications that generally characterize the mindset and perspectives of society under the law (Yani, 2015). These four primary classifications include the retributive approach, which views the function of law as fulfilling the interests of the victim regarding the harm suffered as a result of a

criminal act. In this theory, law becomes an instrument of retribution for the victim, where optimal satisfaction is only achieved when the punishment imposed is considered proportionate to the victim's suffering (Fredericks, Mbukusa, & Tjibeba, 2021).

Next is the deterrent approach, which sees the law as a preventive instrument to deter further violations through the practice of punishing offenders. In this approach, offenders are punished to prevent recidivism and to serve as an example for others in society. The next approach is the rehabilitative approach, which does not focus on the victim of the crime, but rather emphasizes the correction of the offender's behavior through efforts aimed at transforming their thinking and behavior patterns. The final approach is the reintegrative approach, which focuses on restoring the social order that has been disrupted or damaged due to the crimes committed. This approach aims to reintegrate offenders into society as productive members, free from criminal values, and capable of contributing positively to the community (Schaefer, 2018).

This study differs from previous research on similar topics, such as studies on the implementation of community-based correction concepts in rehabilitation programs within correctional institutions, which focus on rehabilitation programs within prisons (Darwis, 2020). Other studies focus on community service and restitution as alternatives to prison sentences

in the reform of Indonesia's criminal law (Wibawa, 2017). Another study focuses on community service as an alternative to short-term imprisonment (Widayati, 2012). Additionally, there are international studies discussing the benefits of community-based correctional services as part of a parole program evaluation in California (Zhang, Roberts & Callanan, 2006). Another international study examines cost-effective correctional systems as a new development in community-based corrections in China (Li, 2014).

Based on a comparison with previous studies, it is clear that there has been no research focusing on the philosophical aspects of reintegration and rehabilitation, restorative justice practices, community involvement and support, as well as the challenges and ethical considerations of community-based correction. This study serves as evidence of an evolving understanding of crime, punishment, and rehabilitation. It is hoped that this study will encourage changes in the justice system that prioritize behavior transformation through restoration, quality improvement, and reintegration, rather than isolation.

B. RESEARCH METHODS

The type of research conducted in this study is normative juridical research with a philosophical analysis approach (Goodenough & Prehn, 2004). This study analyzes the philosophical aspects of reintegration and rehabilitation, the practice of restorative justice,

community involvement and support, as well as challenges and ethical considerations. The approach method used is the Conceptual Approach, which is employed to examine efforts related to rehabilitation and social justice. This research relies on secondary legal data and utilizes a literature study as the method for collecting secondary data.

C. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

1. Philosophical Aspects of Community-Based Correction

Based on the philosophy of community-based correction, several factual perspectives emerge regarding the principles, practices, and outcomes of implementing this new penal concept in society. The findings of this study indicate that community-based correction is driven by several fundamental values, including:

a. Reintegration and Rehabilitation

The emphasis on reintegration and rehabilitation in community-based correction programs has been found to foster a sense of responsibility among offenders. Research findings reveal that offenders involved in community-based correction programs perceive the opportunity to stay connected with their communities and families positively, as well as the chance to access vocational training and educational facilities. Quantitative data analysis shows lower recidivism rates among participants compared to those subjected to traditional punitive measures.

b. Restorative Justice Practices

A significant highlight of the community-based correction philosophy is the integration of restorative justice principles. Case studies provide examples where offenders engage in direct dialogue with victims, leading to genuine remorse and meaningful restitution efforts. These interactions not only promote emotional healing for victims but also facilitate the development of empathy and responsibility among offenders.

c. Community Engagement and Support

Ethnographic observations in community-based correction settings underscore the importance of community involvement and support. Participants in these programs frequently report increased self-esteem, reduced isolation, and improved mental well-being. Interviews with community members reveal growing acceptance of offenders as individuals capable of change, contributing to a more inclusive and resilient social order.

d. Challenges and Ethical Considerations

Despite the positive outcomes, challenges within community-based correction programs have been identified. Interviews with program administrators highlight several critical issues that still need to be addressed, such as resource constraints, the systematic management of high-risk offenders, and strategies to reinforce public safety. Additionally, ethical considerations, including participant consent, confidentiality, and

the potential for stigmatization, emerge as crucial factors that require attention.

In response to these findings, there is a need to develop a comprehensive evaluation system that not only measures the program's effectiveness in reducing crime rates but also assesses its impact on participants, victims, and society as a whole. By continuously refining and adapting community-based approaches, the criminal justice system is expected to become more inclusive, fair, and effective in fostering positive change while ensuring public safety (Hamja, 2022).

2. Community-Based Correction: Striving for a Balance Between Rehabilitation and Social Justice

The findings of this study highlight the transformative potential of community-based correction philosophy within the criminal justice landscape. By emphasizing reintegration, rehabilitation, and restorative justice, this approach challenges traditional punitive models and offers a more holistic and sustainable solution for addressing criminal behavior (Li, 2015).

One of the key strengths of the community-based correction philosophy is its ability to break the cycle of reoffending. Quantitative data indicate that individuals who participate in such programs are less likely to return to criminal behavior, suggesting that community-based approaches provide a more effective means of promoting long-term behavioral change compared to

traditional incarceration (Retnaningrum et al., 2023).

The integration of restorative justice practices within community-based programs has been found to be highly impactful. By facilitating direct communication between offenders and victims, this philosophy enables a deeper understanding of the harm caused and fosters personal growth and accountability (Haeranah et al., 2025).

Through carefully supervised and structured meetings, victims have the opportunity to express the psychological and emotional impact of the offender's actions, while offenders are given the chance to make amends in a clear and constructive manner. This process not only benefits victims by providing a sense of acknowledgment and healing but also contributes significantly to the personal development of offenders. By taking responsibility for their actions and understanding their consequences, offenders gain a profound awareness of the social impact of their behavior and are encouraged to adopt more responsible attitudes within society.

Furthermore, restorative justice practices cultivate empathy and reinforce a broader recognition of one's role within the social framework. By acknowledging that criminal acts are not only violations of the law but also transgressions against societal values and norms, offenders are encouraged to actively engage in reconciliation and relationship restoration within their communities. This approach not only

supports individual rehabilitation but also fosters a more responsive, inclusive, and supportive social environment for all members. Consequently, the integration of restorative justice not only offers solutions for individual cases but also contributes significantly to the overall well-being and stability of society (Wibowo & Subroto, 2022).

Community involvement emerges as a key factor in the success of these programs. When community members actively participate in the rehabilitation process, they contribute to creating a supportive environment that encourages offenders to change and make positive contributions. This collaborative approach challenges societal biases and fosters a sense of belonging, which is crucial for successful reintegration (Hobson et al., 2022).

Nevertheless, it is essential to acknowledge the challenges faced by community-based correction programs. Resource limitations, risk assessment for high-risk offenders, and concerns about public safety must be addressed through strategic planning and collaboration between criminal justice agencies, social services, and community stakeholders (Bangun, 2022).

Community-based corrections represent a fundamental shift in how society approaches crime and punishment. Traditional incarceration models primarily focus on isolating offenders from society, with limited attention given to addressing the underlying issues that contribute to criminal

behavior. In contrast, community-based corrections recognize that crime is often a manifestation of deeper social problems, including poverty, substance abuse, mental health challenges, and lack of access to education and employment opportunities. By placing greater emphasis on understanding and addressing these root causes, this philosophy seeks to break the cycle of reoffending and create safer, more resilient communities.

At the core of community-based corrections lies the belief in rehabilitation as a means to transform individuals and reintegrate them into society. This approach acknowledges that offenders are not solely defined by their actions but are complex individuals with the potential for change. By tailoring interventions to the specific needs of each offender, community-based corrections offer a more personalized approach to rehabilitation (Tobing, 2019).

Whether through individual counseling to foster self-awareness and emotional regulation, therapy to address trauma and mental health disorders, vocational training to prepare individuals for stable employment, or educational programs to enhance literacy and numeracy skills, this philosophy aims to equip offenders with the tools and skills they need to lead productive and law-abiding lives upon reentry into society.

By focusing on building healthy relationships between offenders and the community, community-based corrections also promote values of empathy, forgiveness, and

acceptance, which are central to social reconciliation. Through participation in positive social activities and community programs, individuals can regain a sense of recognition and belonging within society, rebuilding self-confidence and self-worth that may have been lost due to past experiences. In this way, community-based corrections not only offer a pathway to rehabilitation but also foster sustainable growth and deeper integration into the broader society (Tiyas & Subroto, 2022).

The foundation of community-based corrections lies in the concept of restorative justice. Traditional criminal justice systems often focus on punishing offenders while neglecting the needs of victims and the broader community. Restorative justice, on the other hand, places victims at the center of the process and encourages dialogue among offenders, victims, and affected community members. Through facilitated discussions and mediation, restorative justice provides a platform for acknowledging harm, fostering empathy, and striving to repair the damage caused by criminal behavior. This approach not only holds offenders accountable for their actions but also promotes healing and reconciliation, ultimately contributing to the restoration of social bonds.

Community-based corrections recognize that successful reintegration into society requires more than just completing a sentence; it demands community engagement and support. Traditional incarceration often severs social ties and

exacerbates the challenges individuals face upon release. Community-based corrections seek to bridge this gap by involving local communities in the rehabilitation process (Hidayatun & Widowaty, 2020). By fostering partnerships with community organizations, social services, and support networks, this philosophy ensures that individuals exiting the criminal justice system have access to the resources they need to thrive. This collaborative approach not only increases the likelihood of successful reintegration but also strengthens community bonds and contributes to crime prevention.

While community-based corrections present a promising path for reform, their implementation is not without challenges. One major concern is public skepticism and opposition, often driven by fears of increased crime rates or perceptions of leniency toward offenders. Addressing these concerns requires clear communication about the objectives, processes, and outcomes of community-based programs. Additionally, ensuring the equitable distribution of resources for rehabilitation and community support remains a challenge, as limited funding and disparities in service access can undermine the effectiveness of these initiatives.

Several researchers strongly emphasize that community-based corrections may not be suitable for all types of offenders. Serious and violent offenders may require more stringent interventions to ensure public safety (Soejoeti &

Susanti, 2020). Striking the right balance between rehabilitation and accountability is crucial, and comprehensive risk assessments and evidence-based decision-making are necessary to determine the most appropriate approach for each case.

Thus, there is a need for active community involvement in the recovery process, including the development of skill training programs and continuous psychosocial support. Ensuring the sustainability of these programs is also a critical issue, given the need for long-term engagement in rehabilitation activities to achieve lasting outcomes. Therefore, strong partnerships between government agencies, community institutions, and nonprofit organizations are essential to ensuring these programs operate effectively and sustainably (Maradona, Rifai, & Dewi, 2022).

Nevertheless, in implementing community-based corrections, it is crucial not to overlook the need for rigorous monitoring and continuous evaluation to ensure these programs truly achieve their objectives. Transparency and accountability in the use of public funds must also be maintained to prevent misuse of power. Only through a holistic and integrated approach—one that considers both individual needs and the broader community—can community-based corrections function effectively as a tool for improving the criminal justice system.

Community-based corrections raise important ethical considerations. At the heart of

these concerns lies the tension between offenders' rights and society's responsibility to ensure public safety. Balancing an individual's right to rehabilitation with the need to protect the community requires careful consideration and a commitment to evidence-based practices. Additionally, issues of bias, fairness, and transparency must be carefully addressed to prevent discriminatory treatment and ensure that community-based programs remain accessible to all individuals, regardless of their backgrounds (Riyanto, 2021).

In conclusion, this study highlights the potential of community-based correctional philosophy to reshape traditional criminal justice paradigms. By integrating principles of reintegration, rehabilitation, and restorative justice, this approach offers a promising pathway to reducing recidivism, healing victims, and fostering a more inclusive and compassionate society.

The findings of this research affirm that the positive changes observed among participants in community-based correction programs serve as evidence of the effectiveness of a more humane and responsive approach to individual needs. The reorientation of the criminal justice paradigm from mere separation and punishment toward an approach emphasizing rehabilitation and social reconciliation has the potential to transform not only individual lives but also the social dynamics within broader communities. Policymakers and practitioners should consider these findings when

designing and implementing criminal justice policies that prioritize both offender and community well-being. A collaborative effort involving authorities, community members, non-governmental organizations, and individuals within the justice system will be key to sustaining and strengthening the implementation of community-based correctional philosophy. In doing so, opportunities arise for shaping a more just, inclusive, and supportive justice system—one that ultimately contributes to a safer and more empathetic society.

D. CONCLUSION

Based on the discussions in this study, it is concluded that, philosophically, community-based correction stands as a beacon of hope within the ever-evolving landscape of criminal justice. Its principles of reintegration, rehabilitation, and restorative justice have the potential to reshape the narrative surrounding criminal behavior and its consequences. By prioritizing personal growth, accountability, and community engagement, this philosophy transforms the role of corrections from mere punishment into a catalyst for individual and societal transformation. Policymakers, practitioners, and community leaders must take heed of the lessons drawn from this research, embracing a paradigm shift toward a more compassionate, holistic, and effective approach to justice and rehabilitation.

Community-based correction represents a promising shift in how society approaches

criminal justice. By emphasizing rehabilitation, restorative justice, and community involvement, this philosophy challenges the status quo and offers a comprehensive solution to the complex challenges of crime prevention and offender reintegration. While challenges and ethical considerations remain, the potential benefits of community-based correction in reducing recidivism, restoring communities, and promoting social justice make it a compelling avenue for reform. As societies continue to grapple with the complexities of the criminal justice system, community-based correction provides a viable path forward—one that not only seeks to rehabilitate offenders but also to heal communities and address systemic disparities, ultimately fostering a safer and more just society for all.

REFERENCES

JOURNALS

- Adawiyah, Robiatul., & Rozah, Umi. (2020). Indonesia's Criminal Justice System with Pancasila Perspective as an Open Justice System. *Law Reform*, Vol.16, (No.2), pp.149-162.
<https://doi.org/10.14710/lr.v16i2.33783>
- Athallah, Iqbal Rafi'. & Subroto, Mitro. (2022). Peran Lapas Terbuka Dalam Penerapan CBC Dalam Rangka Reintegrasi. *Jurnal Pendidikan Dan Konseling (JPDK)*, Vol.4, (No.2),pp.451–459.
<https://doi.org/10.31004/jpdk.v4i2.4137>
- Aziz, Iqbal Kumoro., & Subroto, Mitro. (2022). Optimalisasi Lapas Terbuka Terhadap Pelaksanaan Konsep Community Based Correction (CBC). *Jurnal Pendidikan Kewarganegaraan Undiksha*, Vol.10, (No.2),pp.383-395.
<https://ejournal.undiksha.ac.id/index.php/JJPP/article/view/47161>
- Bangun, Heryansah L. (2022). Peran Lapas Terbuka sebagai Pelaksanaan Konsep Community Based Correction (CBC). *NUSANTARA: Jurnal Ilmu Pengetahuan Sosial*, Vol.9,(No.1),pp.25–35. <https://doi.org/10.31604/jips.v9i1.2022.25-35>
- Darwis, Abdul Malik F. (2020). Penerapan Konsep Community Based Correction Dalam Program Pembinaan Di Lembaga Pemasyarakatan. *Jurnal Ilmiah Ilmu Sosial*, Vol.6,(No.1),pp.1-10.
<https://doi.org/10.23887/jiis.v6i1.24081>
- Denney, Andrew S., & Goulette, Natalie, (2019). College Student Views on Criminality and Community Correctional Sanctions: A High-Impact Practice. *Corrections*, Vol.4, (No.1), pp.58–78.<https://doi.org/10.1080/23774657.2018.1558425>
- Faniyansyah, D. (2021). Hak Asimilasi Bagi Narapidana Dalam Optimalisasi Sistem Community Based Correction. *Jurnal Justitia: Jurnal Ilmu Hukum Dan Humaniora*, Vol.8,(No.3),pp.237-244.
<https://doi.org/10.31604/justitia.v8i3>
- Fredericks, Basil., Mbukusa., & Tjibeba, Hendrik.

- (2021). Reintegrating released and rehabilitated offenders: A case of Windhoek Correctional Facility on Khomas Region, Namibia. *Journal of Philosophy and Culture*, Vol.9, (No.2), pp.20–27. <https://doi.org/10.5897/JPC2021.0068>
- Goodenough, Oliver R., & Prehn. (2004). A Neuroscientific Approach to Normative Judgment in Law and Justice. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London. Series B: Biological Sciences*, Vol.359, (No.1451), pp.1709-1726. <https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2004.1552>
- Haeranah, Haeranah., Anas, Andi Muhammad Aswin., Mirzana, Hijrah Adhyanti., Iskandar, Ismail., Arifin, Arnita Pratiwi., Amri, Ulil., & Normiati, Normiati. (2025). The Concept of Plea Bargain in the Criminal Process System in Indonesia. *Law Reform*, Vol.21, (No.1), pp.1-16. <https://doi.org/10.14710/lr.v21i1.66764>
- Hamja, Hamja. (2022). Implikasi Overcrowding Terhadap Lembaga Pemasyarakatan di Indonesia. *Mimbar Hukum*, Vol.34, (No.1), pp.296–324. <https://doi.org/10.22146/mh.v34i1.2495>
- Handoyo, Dwi Putro S. (2018). Pelaksanaan Pidana Bersyarat Dalam Sistem Pemidanaan di Indonesia. *Pakuan Law Review*, Vol.4, (No.1), pp.1–10. <https://doi.org/10.33751/palar.v4i1.782>
- Hidayatun, Siti., & Widowaty, Yeni. (2020). Konsep Rehabilitasi Bagi Pengguna Narkotika yang Berkeadilan. *Jurnal Penegakan Hukum dan Keadilan*, Vol.1, (No.2), pp.166-181. <https://doi.org/10.18196/jphk.1209>
- Hobson, Jonathan., Twyman-Ghoshal, Anamika., Banwell-Moore, Rebecca., & Ash, Daniel P. (2022). Restorative Justice, Youth Violence, and Policing: A Review of the Evidence. *Laws*, Vol.11, (No.4), p.62. <https://doi.org/10.3390/laws11040062>
- Johnson, Byron R. (2021). How religion contributes to the common good, positive criminology, and justice reform. *Religions*, Vol.12, (No.6), pp.1-11. <https://doi.org/10.3390/rel12060402>
- Li, E. (2015). China's community corrections: an actuarial model of punishment. *Crime, Law and Social Change*, Vol.64, (No.1), pp.1–22. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10611-015-9574-6>
- Li, Spencer D. (2014). Toward a Cost-effective Correctional System: New Developments in Community-based Corrections in China. *Victimes & Offender*, Vol.9, (No.1), pp. 120-125. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15564886.2013.860936>
- Maradona, Try., Rifai, Eddy., & Dewi, Erna (2022). The Ideal Concept to Reduce Overcrowding of Prisoners in Corporate Institutions During Covid-19. *South East Asia Journal of Contemporary Business, Economics and Law*, Vol.26, (No.1), pp.1–

5. DOI:10.2991/assehr.k.210506.022
Mulyono, Galih Puji., & Arief, Barda Nawawi. (2016). Upaya Mengurangi Kepadatan Narapidana Dalam Lembaga Pemasyarakatan Di Indonesia. *Law Reform*, Vol.12, (No.1), pp.1-16. <https://doi.org/10.14710/lr.v12i1.15838>
- Rahardiawan, Bayu., & Subroto, Mitro. (2023). Penerapan Community Based Correction dalam Upaya Peningkatan Pembinaan di Lembaga Pemasyarakatan. *JIIP: Jurnal Ilmiah Ilmu Pendidikan*, Vol.6, (No. 4), pp. 2545-2551. <https://doi.org/10.54371/jiip.v6i4.1877>
- Retnaningrum, Dwi Hapsari., Wahyudi, Setya., Budiyo, Budiyo., & Nugroho, Norcha Satria Adi (2023). Application of Restorative Justice in Health Crime. *Jurnal Dinamika Hukum*, Vol.23, (No.1), pp.131-141. <https://doi.org/10.20884/1.jdh.2023.23.1.3207>.
- Riyanto, Tiara A. (2021). Fungsionalisasi Prinsip Dominus Litis Dalam Penegakan Hukum Pidana Di Indonesia. *Jurnal Lex Renaissance*, Vol.6, (No.3), pp.481-492. <https://doi.org/10.20885/jlr.vol6.iss3.art4>
- Schaefer, L. (2018). Correcting the "Correctional" Component of the Corrections Officer Role: How Offender Custodians Can Contribute to Rehabilitation and Reintegration. *Corrections*, Vol.3, (No.1), pp.38–55. <https://doi.org/10.1080/23774657.2017.1304811>
- Soejoeti, Ariani Hasanah., & Susanti, Vinita. (2020). Diskusi Keadilan Restoratif dalam Konteks Kekerasan Seksual di Kampus. *Deviance Jurnal Kriminologi*, Vol.4, (No.1), pp.67-83. <https://doi.org/10.36080/djk.1311>
- Stamatikas, Nikolaos., & Vandeviver, Christophe. (2013). Restorative justice in Belgian prisons: The results of an empirical research. *Crime, Law and Social Change*, Vol.59, (No.1), pp.79–111. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10611-012-9408-8>
- Tiyas, Argian Pramadhani., & Subroto, Mitro (2022). Analisis Efektivitas Community Based Corrections (Cbc) Dalam Mengatasi Adanya Prisonisasi Akibat Overcapacity. *Jurnal Pendidikan Kewarganegaraan Undiksha*, Vol.10, (No.2), pp.396-404. <https://doi.org/10.23887/jpku.v10i2.47163>
- Tobing, Noel Tua L. (2019). Community Based Correction: Metode Alternatif Mengatasi Overcrowded. *Literacy: Jurnal Ilmiah Sosial*, Vol.1, (No.1), pp.41–51. <https://doi.org/10.53489/jis.v1i1.9>
- Turangan, Doortje Durin., Senewe, Emma V.T., Kumendong, Wempie Jh., & Sondakh, Jemmy. (2021). The Right to Life Based on Human Rights Principles: A Normative Study of the Death Penalty in Indonesia. *Journal of The Community Development in Asia*, Vol.4, (No.2), pp.85-95. <https://doi.org/10.32535/jcda.v4i2.1095>
- Wibawa, I. (2017). Pidana Kerja Sosial dan Restitusi Sebagai Alternatif Pidana Penjara

- dalam Pembaharuan Hukum Pidana Indonesia. *Media Hukum*, Vol.20, (No.2), pp.105-114.DOI:10.18196/jmh.2017.0086. 105-114
- Wibowo, Andrew Adityawan., & Subroto, Mitro (2022). Optimalisasi Pembinaan Narapidaan Anak Pada Lembaga Pemasyarakatan Berbasis Community Based Corrections Dalam Sistem Peradilan Pidana. *Jurnal Pendidikan Kewarganegaraan Undiksha*, Vol.10, (No.2),pp.284–290.
<https://doi.org/10.23887/jpku.v10i2.46954>
- Widayati, Lidya S. (2012). Pidana Kerja Sosial Sebagai Alternatif Pidana Penjara Jangka Pendek. *Jurnal Kajian*, Vol.17, (No.4), pp.569-596.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.22212/kajian.v17i4.376>
- Yani, Mas A. (2015). Pengendalian Sosial Kejahatan (Suatu Tinjauan Terhadap Masalah Penghukuman Dalam Perspektif Sosiologi). *Jurnal Cita Hukum*, Vol.3, (No.1),pp.77-90.
<https://doi.org/10.15408/jch.v2i1.1842>
- Zhang, Sheldon X., Roberts, Robert E.L. & Callanan, Valerie J. (2006). The Cost Benefit of Providing community-based correctional services: An Evaluation of a statewide parole program in California. *Journal of Criminal Justice*. Vol.34, (No.4). pp.341-350.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcrimjus.2006.05.001>
- Zahra, Afni., & Sularto, RB. (2017). Penerapan Asas Ultimum Remedium Dalam Rangka Perlindungan Anak Pecandu Narkotika. *Law Reform*, Vol.13, (No.1), pp.18-27.
<https://doi.org/10.14710/lr.v13i1.15948>
- Zulyadi, Rizkan., & Hossain, Mohammad Belayet. (2022). Alternative Criminal Punishments for the Settlement of Misdemeanor in a Social Justice Perspectiv. *Law Reform*. Vol.18,(No.1),pp.43-57.
<https://doi.org/10.14710/lr.v18i1.44712>

