Work-life balance as a predictor of organizational commitment: a multidimensional approach
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Abstract

The article investigates the relationship between dimensions of work-life balance (time balance, involvement balance, and satisfaction balance) on organizational commitment. Employee at one of the hotels in Bandarlampung, Lampung, Indonesia, were surveyed and a total of 60 useable questionnaires were returned. We employed multiple regression analysis on those data and found that the time balance, involvement balance, and satisfaction balance positively affected the organizational commitment.
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INTRODUCTION

Organizational commitment has become one of most significant issues in organizational behavior studies recently. Among several predictors of organizational commitment, scholars attention on work-life balance as the predictor of organizational commitment has been increased (for example, see Huang, Lawler, & Lei, 2007; Azeem & Akhtar, 2014)

However, previous studies mostly treated work-life balance as a single dimension. Furthermore, Johari et al (2016) suggested that the role of work-life balance as predictor of employee positive behavior is needed to be re-examine in different contexts.

Therefore, our study objective is to examine the role of work-life balance as predictor of organizational commitment using multidimensional approach. As suggested by Haar et al. (2014), work-life balance comprised of three dimensions: time balance; involvement balance; and satisfaction balance. The advantage to treat work-life balance as multidimensional construct is the researcher was able to find out more specifically the effect of work-life balance dimensions on the organizational commitment.

Furthermore, our study adopted suggestion from Johari et al (2016) to re-examine the role of work-life balance as predictor of organizational commitment in different context by focus on hospitality industry. The reason to focus on hospitality industry was rooted from study by Iverson and Deery (1997), which argued that hospitality industry is characterized with its turnover culture. It is expected that our research model could contribute to managers from hospitality sector to mitigate the turnover rates among their employees.

LITERATURE REVIEW AND HYPOTHESES DEVELOPMENT

Work-life balance

Work-life balance was defined as an employee effort to balance their work and family life (Daipuria & Kakar, 2013). The concept of work-life balance was based on the employees who tried to balance work and family life so that the life of employees was perfect. The organizations had to facilitate the work-life balance in order to raise positive impact on employee, such as increase the motivation, reducing job stress, creating higher productivity, and minimizing turnover rates (Johari et al, 2017). Choudhury & Jyotirmayee (2015) suggested that the work-life had three dimensions: time balance, involvement balance, and satisfaction balance. The time balance involved the time given by the company to do work in office and outside office. The involvement balance involved the employee psychological work and activities outside the work. Moreover, the satisfaction balance concerned with the level of the employee...
satisfaction so long as they felt in working and doing things outside of work.

Organizational commitment

The concept of organizational commitment was first introduced by Mowday in 1982. The organizational commitment was defined as the employee attitude on the organization including the organizational values and organizational goals. The organizational commitment has been assumed as the valuable company assets facilitating company to achieve its goals. Allen & Meyer (1990) stated that the organizational commitment was a psychological attachment of the employees and the organization. Organizational commitment was one of most interesting issues in organizational behavior study since its role as determinant factors affecting employee turnover, employee discipline behavior, motivation, and performance. Allen & Meyer (1990) divided the commitments into three categories: affective commitment, continuous commitment, and normative commitment. Affective commitment referred to the psychological attachment between the employee and the organization; continuous commitment referred to the consequences that employees should take, and normative commitment referred to the employee responsibility to stay in an organization.

Time balance, involvement balance, satisfaction balance, and organizational commitment

Zhang et al. (2012) stated that the currently organizations has been dealing with issues related to managing employee balance between work and family. This was because there was an imbalance of the work time and family time. As employees have long working hours, they were not able to do any activities outside working hours such as doing sports and recreation with family and gathering with friends. When the organization able to provide the work time balance to employees through job flexibility, employees experience time balance between the work and the activities outside the work. The work-life balance provided by the organization created the organizational commitment. Some previous studies support the notion that time balance positively affected the organizational commitment (Greenhaus et al., 2003; Mas-Machuca et al., 2016; dan S & K, 2011). Therefore, the first hypothesis of this study is:

$$H1: \text{Time balance positively affects organizational commitment.}$$

Involvement balance and organizational commitment

Involvement balance was one of the work-life balance dimensions that were able to be a factor affecting the organizational commitment. Involvement balance concerned with the employee psychological state on work and activity outside the work. When employees were involved in decision-making, non-formal corporate members, and formal and non-formal events, the company made employees have a high level of the organizational commitment. This was because the employees perceived that they were needed, valued, and considered by the company so that employees preferred to stay longer in the organization. The previous studies on the involvement balance that had an effect on the organizational commitment were Amrutha Varshini & Ishwarya, (2014); Smith et al., (2016); dan Yadav, (2014). Thus, the second hypothesis is:

$$H2: \text{Involvement balance positively affects organizational commitment.}$$

Satisfaction balance and organizational commitment

One of the factors that determinates organizational commitment was the satisfaction perceived by employees. Satisfaction balance is an appropriate factor affecting the organizational commitment. Satisfaction balance referred to the level of the employee satisfaction with which the employee performed work and the activities outside work. As the organization provided the flexible time, the job sharing, the bonuses, sports facilities, and the children playroom facilities, the employee made employees feel the satisfaction balance because the employees felt the balance of the work activities and the activities outside the work so that the organizational commitment improved. The third hypothesis is:

$$H3: \text{Satisfaction balance significant impact on commitment organizational.}$$
METODOS

The object of our study was the employees of one of the hotels in Bandar Lampung, Lampung, Indonesia, as one of the hospitality business. The number of samples used in this study was 60 people. Work-life balance was measured by instrument developed by McDonal and Bredley (2005) with 12 questions addressing the three dimensions of work-life balance. The organizational commitment was measured by scale developed by Allen & Meyer (1990) with 9 questions.

RESULTAS AND DISCUSSION

We conducted two stages in analyzing the data: (1) performing validity and reliability test on the scales; and (2) testing the hypotheses through the multiple regression. The analytical tool used in this study was SPSS. The validity test was employed using product moment correlation. The pretest was cto 30 respondents with α = 5% level of significance and ρtable value was 0.361. The result of the validity test is represented in Table 1 and Table 2.

The results show that the instruments were valid. It is indicated by Rcount > Rtable. After conducting the validity test, the reliability test was carried out by looking at the Cronbach's Alpha and the result is illustrated in the Table 3.

The result of the multiple regression analysis as represented in Table 4 indicates that time balance positively affects organizational commitment (β = 2.124; p<0.01). Thus, hypothesis 1 was supported; meaning that the if the organization provides work time balance through the job flexibility, the employee can do some activities such as family gathering and sports then they felt the time balance between the work and activities outside the work. The work-life balance provided by the organization created the organizational commitment. It is in line with the previous study conducted by Baral & Bhargava, 2010 and Kinman & Grant, 2011).

The second finding of this study was that the involvement balance positively affects organizational commitment (β = 0.642; p<0.01). Thus, hypothesis 2 was supported. The involvement balance concerned with the employee psychological state in work and

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Question Items</th>
<th>Rcount</th>
<th>Rtable</th>
<th>Explanation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Work-Life Balance</td>
<td>Question 1</td>
<td>0.540</td>
<td>0.361</td>
<td>Valid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Question 2</td>
<td>0.834</td>
<td>0.361</td>
<td>Valid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Question 3</td>
<td>0.787</td>
<td>0.361</td>
<td>Valid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Question 4</td>
<td>0.515</td>
<td>0.361</td>
<td>Valid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Question 5</td>
<td>0.429</td>
<td>0.361</td>
<td>Valid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Question 6</td>
<td>0.834</td>
<td>0.361</td>
<td>Valid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Question 7</td>
<td>0.802</td>
<td>0.361</td>
<td>Valid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Question 8</td>
<td>0.515</td>
<td>0.361</td>
<td>Valid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Question 9</td>
<td>0.540</td>
<td>0.361</td>
<td>Valid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Question 10</td>
<td>0.834</td>
<td>0.361</td>
<td>Valid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Question 11</td>
<td>0.834</td>
<td>0.361</td>
<td>Valid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Question 12</td>
<td>0.719</td>
<td>0.361</td>
<td>Valid</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Question Items</th>
<th>Rcount</th>
<th>Rtable</th>
<th>Explanation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Organizational Commitment</td>
<td>Question 1</td>
<td>0.598</td>
<td>0.361</td>
<td>Valid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Question 2</td>
<td>0.495</td>
<td>0.361</td>
<td>Valid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Question 3</td>
<td>0.556</td>
<td>0.361</td>
<td>Valid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Question 4</td>
<td>0.661</td>
<td>0.361</td>
<td>Valid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Question 5</td>
<td>0.676</td>
<td>0.361</td>
<td>Valid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Question 6</td>
<td>0.672</td>
<td>0.361</td>
<td>Valid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Question 7</td>
<td>0.496</td>
<td>0.361</td>
<td>Valid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Question 8</td>
<td>0.409</td>
<td>0.361</td>
<td>Valid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Question 9</td>
<td>0.606</td>
<td>0.361</td>
<td>Valid</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
As the employees we were involved in decision-making, in non-formal corporate members, and in formal and non-formal events, the company made the employees have a high level of organizational commitment. This was because the employees felt that they were needed, valued, and considered by the company so that the employees considerate to stay longer in the organization. It supported the previous studies conducted by Talukder et al. (2018) and Lewis & Humbert (2010).

The last finding of this study was that the satisfaction balance positively affects organizational commitment (β= 0.286; p<0.01). The satisfaction balance referred to the level of the employee satisfaction on which the employees had done the work and activities outside of the work. The organizations that provide flexible time, job sharing, bonuses, sports facilities, and children's playroom facilities make the employees felt the satisfaction balance because the employees were able to balance their work and the activities outside the work so that the organizational commitment improved. It supported the previous study conducted by Elangovan (2001); Sreejesh et al. (2019).

CONCLUSION

Our study confirmed that the dimensions of work-life balance (time balance, involvement balance, and satisfaction balance) positively affects organizational commitment. It gives the managers insights to be more focus on providing those dimensions in order to increase the employee commitment and furthermore reduce the turnover rate. Although have some contributions our study had some limitations; (1) the sample used was too small and was not able to be generalized; and (2) the organizational commitment was dimensionally not measured. Therefore, the future study is suggested to conduct on the same theme, the same variables, and the same approach.
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