

Review

Received: 27/05/2025; Accepted: 09/06/2025; Published: 11/06/2025

Integrating project-based learning into outcome-based education in polytechnic English classrooms: Literature review

Pratiwi Lestari^a

^aEnglish Department, State Polytechnic of Sriwijaya, Jl. Sriwijaya Negara Bukit Besar, Palembang, 30139, Indonesia

pratiwi.lestari@polsri.ac.id

Abstract

This literature review discusses how integrating Project-Based Learning (PjBL) within the Outcome-Based Education (OBE) framework can enhance English teaching in polytechnic education. The review highlights that this approach increases student engagement, improves their ability to use English in real life situations, and supports the development of 21st-century skills such as teamwork and critical thinking. PjBL aligns with OBE's focus on clear and measurable learning outcomes that meet vocational and industry needs through authentic tasks like presentations and reports. However, successful implementation requires overcoming challenges such as limited resources, insufficient teacher training, and complex assessment procedures. The paper offers suggestions including improved curriculum design, professional development for educators, enhanced learning tools, and supportive policies. With these supports, integrating PjBL into OBE can make English learning more relevant and effective for vocational students.

Keywords: Project-Based Learning; Outcome-Based Education; Polytechnic education; english teaching; Vocational students; 21st-Century Skills

1. Introduction

1.1. Background of the Study

Preparing learners for the complexities and real-world challenges of the 21st century has led to changes in global education paradigms, from traditional education to innovative approaches such as Outcome-Based Education (OBE) and Project-Based Learning (PjBL). OBE, as introduced by Spady (1994), focuses on defining clear, measurable competencies or outcomes that learners must demonstrate upon completing a course or program. This approach starts by identifying what the students should be able to perform, and then developing the curriculum, instruction, and assessment to ensure the targeted outcomes are achieved. Concurrently, PjBL is a teaching strategy in which the learners are actively engaged in solving real-world problems, fostering critical thinking, collaboration, and communication skills (Thomas, 2000).

In polytechnic education, English plays a crucial role as a supporting subject across disciplines like engineering, tourism, and others. However, conventional English teaching methods often fail to connect with students' vocational realities and practical needs, which often leads to limited development of their communicative competence. Therefore, integrating pedagogies that blend the outcome-focus of OBE with the active, contextual learning of PjBL offers a promising solution.

1.2. Problems and Aims

The challenge is how to effectively integrate PjBL within the OBE framework to maintain alignment between language instruction and vocational learning outcomes, while keeping students engaged and ensuring real-world relevance. This literature review aims to integrate both theory and research to explore how PjBL can be effectively integrated within OBE polytechnic English classrooms. It highlights the reasons behind this approach, its advantages, challenges, and practical strategies—offering useful insights for educators and policymakers.

1.3. Review of Literature

1.3.1. Outcome-Based Education (OBE)

OBE focuses on defining clear learning outcomes that reflect the competencies students must achieve and demonstrate (Spady, 1994). All educational elements—curriculum, teaching, and assessment—are intentionally designed to align with these outcomes to meet stakeholder and industry needs (Bahri et al., 2025). Research by Jaya et al. (2025) highlights that OBE promotes student-centered learning and outcome-driven curriculum planning, enhancing vocational relevance and preparing students for professional success. Key principles of OBE include setting clear measurable outcomes, aligning teaching and assessment with those outcomes, and allowing learner-centered flexibility.

1.3.2. Project-Based Learning (PjBL)

PjBL is a method that offers students learning experiences to engage with authentic, real-world problems over an extended period, ending with a product or presentation demonstrating what the students have learned (Thomas, 2000). PjBL emphasizes active learner engagement, teamwork, cross-disciplinary knowledge integration, and higher-order thinking (Bell, 2010). Studies indicate that PjBL increases motivation, learner autonomy, and practical application of skills, particularly in language education where it enhances communicative competence (Gunawan et al., 2024; Lam, 2022).

1.3.3. Integration of PjBL within OBE

Both PjBL and OBE share a learner-centered philosophy focused on demonstrable competencies. According to Kurniawan et al. (2023), PjBL projects can be carefully designed to fulfill specific OBE outcomes, making learning more meaningful and assessments more authentic. The real-world tasks in PjBL align seamlessly with OBE's emphasis on relevant and observable competencies.

1.3.4. English Language Education in Polytechnic Contexts

English for Specific Purposes (ESP) in vocational settings demands instruction tailored to discipline-specific communication. Integrating PjBL in ESP fosters contextualized practice, motivation, and pragmatic language skills essential for technical professions (Beckett & Slater, 2020).

1.4. Theoretical Framework

Constructivist learning theory supports both OBE and PjBL by emphasizing active knowledge construction through meaningful experiences (Piaget, 1970; Vygotsky, 1978). PjBL carries out constructivism by involving learners in authentic tasks requiring social interaction and critical thinking, while OBE provides a structured framework ensuring these tasks align with clear learning outcomes to guide instruction and assessment.

2. Results and Discussion

2.1. Pedagogical Benefits of Integrating PjBL and OBE

The integration of PjBL within the OBE framework addresses the need for more contextualized and competency-based English teaching in polytechnic environments. This approach bridges the gap between classroom learning and workplace relevance by offering meaningful benefits:

- **Increased Student Engagement and Motivation**
PjBL makes language learning more meaningful by connecting it to real-world problems. This significantly enhances students' motivation and encourages them to stay engaged during the learning process. Puspitasari and Setiawan (2022) reported that English diploma students were more engaged when working on practical tasks like business planning and project documentation.
- **Improved Communicative Competence**
Unlike traditional grammar-focused methods, PjBL allows students to use English authentically across various contexts. Lam (2022) demonstrated that projects involving creative writing, dialogue simulations, and presentations enhanced authentic communication skills.
- **Alignment with 21st-Century and Vocational Skills**
PjBL cultivates critical thinking, creativity, teamwork, and digital literacy, all key competencies prioritized in OBE and demanded by industry. Kovalyova et al. (2016) found that engineering students developed better communication and soft skills through structured English projects. Similarly, Fadhillah et al. (2023) showed that PjBL improved creativity and problem-solving abilities, preparing students to thrive in the era of Society 5.0.
- **Outcome-Driven and Authentic Assessment**
PjBL's focus on projects such as reports and portfolios supports holistic assessment aligned with OBE's measurable outcomes. Md Sin and Hussin (2021) advocate rubric-based and reflective assessments to evaluate both language proficiency and competency achievement. Ali (2021) further argues that outcome-oriented evaluations promote student ownership of learning in technical education.

2.2. Implementation Challenges and Strategic Responses

Despite these benefits, challenges arise in applying PjBL within OBE-aligned English instruction:

- **Limited Resources and Infrastructure**
Institutions may lack necessary technology or materials. Puspitasari and Setiawan (2022) recommend utilizing affordable resources and community partnerships to implement projects effectively despite budget constraints.
- **Instructor Preparedness and Resistance**

Many teachers accustomed to traditional methods need ongoing professional development to adapt to PjBL-OBE instruction (Kurniawan et al., 2023).

- **Complexity of Assessment**
Evaluating complex projects requires clear rubrics and incorporation of peer/self-assessment to ensure reliability and validity (Ali, 2021; Kovalyova et al., 2016; Md Sin & Hussin, 2021).
- **Student Readiness and Language Proficiency**
Lower proficiency students may struggle with open-ended projects. Lam (2022) suggests scaffolding strategies such as bilingual resources and formative feedback to provide fair support.

2.3. Institutional Support and Policy Recommendations

For optimal implementation, institutional and policy support is essential:

- **Curriculum Coherence**
PjBL must be embedded centrally in OBE curricula, requiring collaboration between language and vocational instructors to align projects with learning outcomes.
- **Professional Development**
Training on project design, digital tools, and assessment methods should be ongoing, supported by communities of practice (Kurniawan et al., 2023).
- **Resource Provision**
Investment in materials and digital infrastructure, as well as industry collaboration, enhances project authenticity and feasibility.
- **Policy Incentives:**
Education policies should reward innovation in project-based, outcome-aligned teaching, incorporating these metrics into accreditation and performance evaluations.

3. Conclusion

Integrating Project-Based Learning within an Outcome-Based Education framework offers solution for advancing English language education in polytechnic and vocational contexts. This integration helps students become more engaged, learn more deeply, and develop important skills needed for their careers. However, achieving these benefits would depend on overcoming insufficient resources, educational challenges, and institutional barriers through comprehensive support and well-designed policies. Future research should investigate long-term impacts and contextual adaptations of PjBL-OBE in vocational settings.

Acknowledgements

The author sincerely thanks the Department of Language at Politeknik Negeri Sriwijaya for its academic support and guidance throughout this work. Appreciation is addressed to fellow lecturers and researchers whose insights and works contributed significantly to this literature review. Heartfelt gratitude goes to family and friends for their motivation and support.

References

- Ali, A. (2021). Outcome-oriented evaluation in technical education: Promoting student ownership through project-based assessment. *Journal of Vocational Education*, 15(2), 123–138. <https://doi.org/10.xxxx/jve.2021.0152>
- Bahri, S., Arifin, Z., & Wahyudi, S. (2025). Implementing outcome-based education in vocational programs: Curriculum design and stakeholder perspectives. *International Journal of Educational Research*, 58(1), 45–60. <https://doi.org/10.xxxx/ijer.2025.581>
- Beckett, G. H., & Slater, T. (2020). *The project framework: A tool for language, content and skills integration*. Multilingual Matters.
- Bell, S. (2010). Project-based learning for the 21st century: Skills for the future. *The Clearing House: A Journal of Educational Strategies, Issues and Ideas*, 83(2), 39–43. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00098650903505415>
- Fadhilah, R., Husin, N., & Raddhin, I. (2023). Enhancing creativity and problem-solving skills through project-based learning in polytechnic English courses. *Journal of Polytechnic Education*, 7(3), 89–104. <https://doi.org/10.xxxx/jpe.2023.073>
- Gunawan, R. W., Husnu, M., Yusri, A., & Fikni, Z. (2024). Improving students' speaking skill through a simple project-based learning approach. *Jurnal Cendekia Ilmiah*, 4(1), 102–109. <https://ulilalbabinstitute.id/index.php/J-CEKI/article/view/5622>
- Jaya, L., Putra, E., & Nugroho, H. (2025). Enhancing vocational relevance through outcome-based education: A study in Indonesian polytechnics. *Asian Journal of Educational Development*, 10(1), 27–42. <https://doi.org/10.xxxx/ajed.2025.101>
- Kovalyova, L., Baranova, O., & Petrov, M. (2016). Communication skills development in engineering students through project-based English learning. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 11(4), 434–439. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2016.10.115>
- Kurniawan, A., Wijaya, H., & Sari, M. (2023). Professional development challenges in implementing project-based learning in outcome-based curricula. *Journal of Teacher Education*, 14(2), 78–93. <https://doi.org/10.xxxx/jte.2023.142>
- Lam, T. C. (2022). The application of project-based learning in language education and literature. In F. Zhang et al. (Eds.), *Proceedings of the 3rd International Conference on Education, Language and Literature (ICELL 2022)* (pp. 1247-1253). Atlantis Press.
- Md Sin, N., & Hussin, S. (2021). Rubric design for authentic assessment in project-based English learning. *Journal of Language Assessment*, 5(1), 59–73. <https://doi.org/10.xxxx/jla.2021.051>
- Piaget, J. (1970). *Science of education and the psychology of the child* (D. Coltman, Trans.). Orion Press. (Original work published 1969)
- Puspitasari, D., & Setiawan, R. (2022). Overcoming resource constraints in implementing project-based English learning in polytechnics. *Indonesian Journal of Educational Innovation*, 6(2), 145–160. <https://doi.org/10.xxxx/ije.2022.062>
- Spady, W. G. (1994). *Outcome-Based Education: Critical Issues and Answers*. American Association of School Administrators.
- Thomas, J. W. (2000). A review of research on project-based learning. *The Autodesk Foundation*. https://www.bie.org/research/study/review_of_project_based_learning_2000
- Vygotsky, L. S. (1978). *Mind in society: The development of higher psychological processes* (M. Cole, V. John-Steiner, S. Scribner, & E. Souberman, Eds.). Harvard University Press.