Kinetic Study of Styrene Oxidation over Titania Catalyst Supported on Sulfonated Fish Bone-derived Carbon

Ratna Kusumawardani¹, Mukhamad Nurhadi¹*, Teguh Wirawan², Anton Prasetyo³, Nabila Nur Agusti³, Sin Yuan Lai⁴,⁵, Hadi Nur⁶,⁷

¹Department of Chemical Education, Universitas Mulawarman, Kampus Gunung Kelua, Samarinda, 75119, East Kalimantan, Indonesia.
²Chemistry Department, Universitas Mulawarman, Kampus Gunung Kelua, Samarinda, 75119, East Kalimantan, Indonesia.
³Chemistry Department, Faculty Science and Technology, Universitas Islam Negeri Maulana Malik Ibrahim Malang, Jalan Gajayana 50 Malang, 65144, Indonesia.
⁴School of Energy and Chemical Engineering, Xiamen University Malaysia, Selangor Darul Ehsan 43900, Malaysia.
⁵College of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Xiamen University, Xiamen 361005, China.
⁶Department of Chemistry, Universitas Negeri Malang, Malang 65415, Indonesia.
⁷Center of Advanced Materials for Renewable Energy (CAMRY), Universitas Negeri Malang, Jl. Semarang No. 5, Malang 65415, Indonesia.

Received: 10ᵗʰ December 2021; Revised: 22ⁿᵈ January 2022; Accepted: 24ᵗʰ January 2022
Available online: 31ˢᵗ January 2022; Published regularly: March 2022

Abstract

The kinetic evaluation of titania supported sulfonated fish bone-derived carbon (TiO₂/SFBC) as a catalyst in styrene oxidation by aqueous hydrogen peroxide was carried out. The catalysts were prepared by carbonation of fishbone powder at varying temperatures 500, 600 and 700 °C, respectively for 2 h, followed by sulfonation with sulfuric acid (1M) for 24 h and impregnated by varied titania concentration 500, 1000 and 1500 µmol. The physical properties of catalysts were characterized using Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) spectroscopy, X-ray diffraction (XRD), Scanning Electron Microscope-Energy Dispersive X-Ray (SEM-EDX) and the nitrogen adsorption-desorption analysis. The catalytic activity result showed that TiO₂/SFBC can be used as a potential catalyst in styrene oxidation. Worth noting that the sulfonation process has not only transformed the TiO₂/FBC particulates (without sulfonation) to cuboid-shaped TiO₂/SFBC (with sulfonation) but also contributed to the high selectivity of benzaldehyde. On the other hand, carbonization at different temperatures has an indistinct effect on catalytic performance due to their similar surface areas. The styrene conversion rate responded positively with the increasing amount of titania in the functionalized composites. The styrene oxidation by aqueous H₂O₂ unraveled the first-order reaction with the activation energy of ~63.5 kJ.
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1. Introduction

In academic research and industrial fine chemicals synthesis, styrene oxidation is an indispensable reaction because of its commercialized products, including styrene oxide, benzaldehyde or phenylacetaldehyde. Benzaldehyde is the main product of styrene oxidation that is widely manufactured in many commercial products, such as perfume materials, anthelmintics,
epoxy resins, plasticizers, drugs, sweeteners, chiral pharmaceuticals, pesticides, and epoxy paints [1–3]. Due to these commercial commodities, innumerable researchers have taken vast efforts to find catalysts that could increase the product yield of benzaldehyde resulted from styrene oxidation. One of the extensively used catalysts is titania-based catalysts [4–7].

Titanium dioxide (TiO$_2$) or titania is a very well-researched material that exhibits high efficiency in the oxidation reaction due to its structural stability, biocompatibility, optical and electrical properties. In nature, titania can be found in four polymorphs of minerals form such as rutile, anatase, and brookite and titanium dioxide (B) or TiO$_2$ (B) [7,8]. Anatase and rutile are commonly used in the oxidation reaction due to commercial availability, large amounts of reactive oxygen species like hydroxyl (•OH) radicals, hydroperoxy radicals (•OOH) and superoxide (•O$_2^\cdot$) radical anion onto TiO$_2$ surface [9]. However, styrene oxidation using titania could only give low product yields if it is used without any catalyst supports [10,11].

Fabrication titania by impregnation onto a variety of supports has been investigated in styrene oxidation. These catalysts are carbon-coated titania [12,13], Coal char-loaded TiO$_2$ [10], Coal char-loaded TiO$_2$ by sulfonation and alkylsilylation [11], TS-1 zeolite [14], Ti-MCM-41 [15], Ti-LHMS-3 [16], V-Ti-MCM-41 and Nb-Ti-MCM-4 [17], Titanium Dioxide-Supported Sulfonated Low Rank Coal [18]. Despite being high catalytic activity and selectivity, these catalysts customarily involve complicated preparation method and economically infeasible for extensive applications.

Indonesia is the largest archipelagic country in the world with a sea area of 5.8 million km$^2$ [19] and the largest fishery potential in the world with a sea area of 5.8 million km$^2$ [20]. In 2014, Indonesia was the second-largest producer of aquaculture in the world, just ranked behind China [21]. Regardless of the fisheries and aquacultures have vastly developed, the large production of fish waste could cause the environmental issue. By taking this into consideration, valorization of fish waste into value-added commodities, such as bioactive peptides, collagen, enzymes, chitosan, and so forth, has been advocated [22]. In recent years, fish waste has been used as catalysts in biodiesel production [23–25].

Prompted by simple preparation methods and cost-effective raw materials, our research team first adopted facile impregnation synthesis using carbonized fishbone waste as the support for metals (Fe$_2$O$_3$/CFB, CuO/CFB, and TiO$_2$/CFB, CFB = carbon-derived fish bone) in styrene oxidation [4]. In this research, we utilized a similar approach to synthesize the catalyst, whilst focusing more on the parameters, consisting of the temperature of carbonization, and without the addition of H$_2$SO$_4$, and concentration of titania. In detail, the fishbone supports were prepared by carbonation for 2 hours at varying temperatures, 500, 600 and 700 °C; Subsequently, sulfonation (1 M H$_2$SO$_4$) to the carbonized powder for 24 h; Lastly, impregnation of titania (500, 1000 and 1500 µmol) with fishbone-derived hydroxyapatite. The effects of carbonization, sulfonation and titania concentration were studied to understand the structure-properties relationships of the catalyst. Since a catalyst can open a new reaction pathway with lower activation energy, the kinetic performance of the catalysts was evaluated via styrene oxidation in the presence of an aqueous H$_2$O$_2$ oxidant.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1 Carbonation Process

Carbon-containing hydroxyapatite was prepared from the waste of fish bones that was collected from many food companies around Samarinda, East Kalimantan, Indonesia. The fish bone powder was carbonized in a furnace at varied temperatures (500, 600, and 700 °C) for 2 h to form carbon. The fish bone-derived carbon is labeled as FBC(T), where T is the carbonation temperature. For example, FBC500 is the fish bone-derived carbon that is prepared via the carbonation process at 500 °C for 2 h.

2.2 Sulfonation Process

The sulfonation process to the FBC500 was carried out by adding 10 mL of sulfuric acid (1 M; JT Beker) per gram of sample. The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 24 h and washed with distillate water to remove any loosely bound acid and it was dried at 110 °C overnight. FBC500 which has sulfonated is indicated as sulfonated fish bone-derived carbon (SFBC500).

2.3 Titania Impregnation

The titania impregnation process was obtained from the previous research [4]. Every 1 gram carbon was impregnated by titanium(IV) isopropoxide (500 µmol, Sigma Aldrich) that was immersed in 10 mL acetone (Merck) and stirred until all of the acetone solvents completely evaporated. The residual acetone was
removed from the carbon sample by washing with ethanol (Merck) and subsequently dried at 110 °C overnight. The carbon sample was impregnated and labeled as TiO$_2$(x)/FBC(T), x = concentration of TiO$_2$ and T = temperature of carbonization. For example, TiO$_2$(500)/FBC500 was generated from fish bone-derived carbon that was prepared by carbonation process at 500 °C for 2 h and the resultant was impregnated by titanium(IV) isopropoxide (500 µmol). The codes of all samples can be listed in Table 1.

2.4 Samples Characterization

A series of samples were characterized, including TiO$_2$ (500) / FBC 500 , TiO$_2$(500)/SFBC500, TiO$_2$(500)/SFBC600, TiO$_2$(500)/SFBC700, TiO$_2$(1000)/SFBC500 and TiO$_2$(1500)/SFBC500 , to investigate the structure-activity relationships between the catalysts and the styrene oxidation. The samples were characterized by using FTIR, XRD, SEM-EDX and BET adsorption-desorption. FTIR spectrometer (IR-Prestige−21 Shimadzu) with a spectral resolution of 2 cm$^{-1}$, scans 10 s, at temperature 20 °C and range of wavenumber from 400 to 4000 cm$^{-1}$ was used to identify the functional groups in the catalyst. The crystallinity and phase content of the catalyst was analyzed using XRD instrument (Phillips PANalytical X’Pert PRO) with the Cu-K$_\alpha$ (λ = 1.5406 Å) radiation as the diffracted monochromatic beam at 40 kV and 40 mA and the pattern was scanned in the 2θ ranges between 7° and 60° at a step 0.03° and step time 1 s. The SEM-EDX (FEI Inspect S50) instrument with an accelerating voltage of 15 kV was used to determine the surface morphology and element containing the catalyst. The nitrogen adsorption-desorption isotherms were measured at bath temperature 77.3 K and outgas temperature 300.0 °C using a Quantachrome NovaWin instrument version 11.0.

2.5 Catalytic Activity Test

The catalysts were tested by styrene oxidation reaction with aqueous hydrogen peroxide (H$_2$O$_2$) as an oxidant. The catalytic reactions procedure was conducted according to the previous research. All reactions were performed by mixing styrene (Merck; 5 mmol), 30% aqueous H$_2$O$_2$ (Merck; 5 mmol), acetonitrile (Merck; 4.5 mL), and catalyst (100 mg) with stirring for 24 h at room temperature. The products were then separated from the catalysts by centrifugation. A portion of the resulting liquid mixture was withdrawn and analyzed by GC-2010 Shimadzu-gas chromatograph equipped with a SH-Rxi-5ms column (30 m x 0.25 mmID x 0.25 µm df), serial 1652111, a flame ionization detector (FID) and nitrogen as the carrier gas. The temperatures of the injector and detector were programmed at 250 and 260 °C, respectively. The temperature of the column oven was programmed to increase from 80 to 140 °C, at a rate of 10 °C/min.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1 Physical Properties

The FTIR spectra of (a) FBC500, (b) SFBC500, (c) TiO$_2$(500)/FBC500, (d) TiO$_2$(500)/SFBC500, (e) TiO$_2$(500)/SFBC600, (f) TiO$_2$(500)/SFBC700, (g) TiO$_2$(1000)/SFBC500 and (h) TiO$_2$(1500)/SFBC500 were measured in 400–4000 cm$^{-1}$ and are shown in Figure 1. All spectra show absorption peaks around 3200–3600 cm$^{-1}$ and 1626 cm$^{-1}$ are assigned the O–H stretching which might be attributed to adsorbed water molecules. The absorption peaks at 2921 cm$^{-1}$ were attributed to the symmetric and asymmetric stretching mode of the

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Samples</th>
<th>Type of treatment</th>
<th>Time of sulfonation (h)</th>
<th>Temperature of carbonation (°C)</th>
<th>Time of carbonation (h)</th>
<th>Titanium impregnation (µmol)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No catalyst</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO$_2$</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FBC500</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SFBC500</td>
<td>Sulfonation</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO$_2$(500)/FBC500</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO$_2$(500)/SFBC500</td>
<td>Sulfonation</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO$_2$(500)/SFBC600</td>
<td>Sulfonation</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO$_2$(500)/SFBC700</td>
<td>Sulfonation</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>700</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO$_2$(1000)/SFBC500</td>
<td>Sulfonation</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO$_2$(1500)/SFBC500</td>
<td>Sulfonation</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1500</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Codes and treatments done to the samples.
aromatic and aliphatic C−H. The presence of hydroxyapatite in all samples was proven by the appearance of carbonate ion (CO$_3^{2−}$) and phosphate ion (PO$_4^{3−}$) groups [26–31]. The carbonate ion (CO$_3^{2−}$) which comprised of C−O stretching, showed the absorption band at around 1050−1300 cm$^{-1}$ and 877 cm$^{-1}$. The phosphate ion (PO$_4^{3−}$) groups that correlated with the P−O stretching vibration was proven by the absorption bands at 1094 cm$^{-1}$. The functional group resulted from the sulfonation process is illustrated in Figure 1 (b, d−h) the absorption peaks exhibited at 1179 and 1030 cm$^{-1}$. The absorption bands near 1179 cm$^{-1}$ are due to symmetric vibrations of S−O−Si bridges [32]. The absorption peak near 1030 cm$^{-1}$ represents S=O symmetric stretching mode and the SO$_2$ deformation frequency that indicate the presence SO$_3^-$H groups attachment on the surface [10,33]. The absorption peak of titania impregnation can be observed in Figure 1 (c−h). The presence of titania in the catalyst samples can be proven by the presence of absorption peaks around 900–1000 cm$^{-1}$, which indicated the local stretching mode of [TiO$_4$] and/or [O$_3$TiOH] and titanyl [Ti=O] vibration [34–36]. But the absorption band of Ti−O in this FTIR spectra is indistinct, which is attributed to the small amount of titania impregnated onto the sulfonated carbon-derived fish bone.

Figure 2 shows the crystallinity of XRD pattern (a) FBC500, (b) SFBC500, (c) TiO$_2$(500)/FBC500, (d) TiO$_2$(500)/SCFB500, (e) TiO$_2$(500)/SCFB600, (f) TiO$_2$(500)/SFBC700, (g) TiO$_2$(1000)/SFBC500 and (h) TiO$_2$(1500)/SFBC500. Figures 2(a) and (b) show that FBC500 and SFBC500 catalysts consist the hydroxyapatite which is investigated by the diffraction peaks at 2θ = 25.8, 32.0, and 39.5. Both XRD patterns prove that the sulfonation process did not influence the crystallinity of both catalysts. Figure 2 (c) and (d) could be used to investigate the influence of titania impregnation onto FBC500 and SFBC500 to crystallinity properties. Both XRD patterns show that TiO$_2$(500)/FBC500 and TiO$_2$(500)/SFBC500 catalysts have the same crystallinity. The effect of varying carbonation
temperature of fishbones as support titania catalyst can be shown in Figures 2(d), (e) and (f). The effect of the amount of titania when the impregnation process on the crystallinity properties was investigated from Figure 2(d), (g) and (h). All XRD patterns (c) – (h) show the same crystallinity properties, which were dominated by the diffraction peaks at $2\theta = 29.5$, 31.7, 32.9, 42.3, and 52.8 that correlated with the hydroxyapatite and at $2\theta = 25.6, 38.5, 47.7, 49.2, 54.0$ and 55.2 that assigned to titania [36–38].

The SEM images of (a) FBC500, (b) SFBC500, (c) TiO$_2$(500)/FBC500, (d) TiO$_2$(500)/SFBC500, (e) TiO$_2$(500)/SFBC600, (f) TiO$_2$(500)/SFBC700, (g) TiO$_2$(1000)/SFBC500, and (h) TiO$_2$(1500)/SFBC500 catalysts are exhibited in Figure 3. It is interesting to note that the effects of the sulfonation process are illustrated in Figures 3 (a) and (b). The irregular shape of (a) FBC500 could be transformed into a cuboid shape after sulfonation, which is labeled as (b) SFBC500. Figures 3 (a) and (c) depict the difference of with and without titania impregnation on FBC500. The roughened surface by titania deposition can be seen in Figure 3 (c), but less degree of roughness is found in Figure 3 (a). The titania deposited on cuboid-shaped SFBC500 shows the robustness of the impregnation process in the preparation of TiO$_2$/SFBC, which is exhibited in Figure 3 (b) and (d)–(h). The existence of S and Ti in EDX data (Table 2) proves that the implementation of the sulfonation and titania impregnation process. It showed the difference between FBC500 and SFBC500, whereby the SFBC500 showed no detection of Na, Mg, P and Si elements. It might be attributed to the sulfonation process that has caused the leaching of Na, Mg, P and Si elements. Additionally, EDX data also showed a similar leaching phenomenon on TiO$_2$(500)/FBC500, in which the impregnation process might cause the leaching of Na, Mg and Si elements.

Figure 4 shows the nitrogen adsorption-desorption isotherms of (a) FBC500, (b) TiO$_2$(500)/FBC500, and (c) TiO$_2$(500)/SFBC500. All isotherms of samples were Type IV in the IUPAC classifications. The typical isotherm was mesoporous materials which were proven

![Figure 3. SEM Image of (a) FBC500, (b) SFBC500, (c) TiO$_2$(500)/FBC500, (d) TiO$_2$(500)/SFBC500, (e) TiO$_2$(500)/SFBC600, (f) TiO$_2$(500)/SFBC700, (g) TiO$_2$(1000)/SFBC500 and (h) TiO$_2$(1500)/SFBC500.](image)

Table 2. Elements analysis of catalysts using SEM-EDX.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Catalysts</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>O</th>
<th>Na</th>
<th>Mg</th>
<th>Si</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>Ca</th>
<th>Ti</th>
<th>S</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FBC500</td>
<td>20.55</td>
<td>34.34</td>
<td>1.71</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>12.91</td>
<td>28.91</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SFBC500</td>
<td>10.31</td>
<td>33.45</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>30.96</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>24.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO$_2$(500)/FBC500</td>
<td>38.82</td>
<td>37.28</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6.82</td>
<td>13.61</td>
<td>2.98</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO$_2$(500)/SFBC600</td>
<td>32.39</td>
<td>33.72</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>17.61</td>
<td>1.65</td>
<td>14.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO$_2$(500)/SFBC700</td>
<td>24.50</td>
<td>34.98</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>22.41</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>17.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO$_2$(1000)/SFBC500</td>
<td>30.95</td>
<td>36.28</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>17.47</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>14.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO$_2$(1500)/SFBC500</td>
<td>41.52</td>
<td>33.32</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>13.73</td>
<td>1.31</td>
<td>10.12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Copyright © 2022, ISSN 1978-2993
by the knee area. The sulfonation and titania impregnation process do not influence the type of mesoporous properties. The sulfonation process only influenced the hysteresis loops that become narrow. It correlated to decrease surface area after the sulfonation process. The BET surface area, pore-volume, and mean pore size of FBC500, SFBC500, TiO$_2$(500)/FBC500, TiO$_2$(500)/SFBC500, TiO$_2$(500)/SFBC600, TiO$_2$(500)/SFBC700, TiO$_2$(1000)/SFBC500 and TiO$_2$(1500)/SFBC500 were obtained from the nitrogen adsorption-desorption analysis. The complete data are listed in Table 3. The pore size distribution of all samples is more than 2 nm, indicating the presence of uniform mesopores. The BET surface area and pore volume showed the following values: 106.4 m$^2$.g$^{-1}$, 0.249 cm$^3$.g$^{-1}$ for CFBC500; 6.8 m$^2$.g$^{-1}$, 0.0147 cm$^3$.g$^{-1}$ for SFBC500. In this phenomenon the sulfonation process can dissolve the small particles evidenced by SEM images, whereby the small particles (Figure 3(a)) are transformed into cuboid shape (Figure 3(b)), thus decreasing the BET surface area and pore volume. On the other hand, titanium impregnation SFBC could increase the BET surface area and pore volume, as shown in Table 3. The surface area and pore volume are listed as such: 6.8 m$^2$.g$^{-1}$, 0.0147 cm$^3$.g$^{-1}$ for SFBC500; and 13.9 m$^2$.g$^{-1}$, 0.0350 cm$^3$.g$^{-1}$ for TiO$_2$(500)/SFBC500. An increase amount of titanium impregnated onto the support can sequentially increase the BET surface area and pore volume, as indicated with 13.9 m$^2$.g$^{-1}$, 0.035 cm$^3$.g$^{-1}$ for TiO$_2$(500)/SFBC500; 23.3 m$^2$.g$^{-1}$, 0.0352 cm$^3$.g$^{-1}$ for TiO$_2$(1000)/SFBC500; and 33.1 m$^2$.g$^{-1}$, 0.0540 cm$^3$.g$^{-1}$ for TiO$_2$(1500)/SFBC500.

3.2 Catalytic Activity

The yields of styrene oxidation with H$_2$O$_2$ as oxidant catalyzed by TiO$_2$, FBC500, SFBC500, TiO$_2$(500)/FBC500, TiO$_2$(500)/SFBC500, TiO$_2$(500)/SFBC600, TiO$_2$(500)/SFBC700, TiO$_2$(1000)/SFBC500 and TiO$_2$(1500)/SFBC500 catalysts can be shown in histogram of Figure 5. The styrene conversion over no catalyst, TiO$_2$, FBC500, SFBC500, TiO$_2$(500)/FBC500, TiO$_2$(500)/SFBC500, TiO$_2$(500)/SFBC600, TiO$_2$(500)/SFBC700, TiO$_2$(1000)/SFBC500 and TiO$_2$(1500)/SFBC500 catalysts are 2.9; 3.2; 0.3; 0.2; 5.3; 17.1; 18.5; 16.0; 38.9 and 51.1%, respectively. The role of catalyst support can be proven by styrene conversion of TiO$_2$, FBC500, SFBC500, TiO$_2$(500)/FBC500, and TiO$_2$(500)/SFBC500 as catalysts. The styrene conversion of metal catalyst (TiO$_2$) and support catalyst (FBC500, and SFBC500) in styrene oxidation showed lower activity than titania supported fish bone-derived carbon.

![Figure 4](image_url)

Figure 4. The physisorption isotherms of (a) FBC500, (b) TiO$_2$ (500)/FBC500, and (c) TiO$_2$(500)/SFBC500.

Table 3. Physical properties of the catalysts.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Samples</th>
<th>BET surface area (m$^2$/g)</th>
<th>Pore Volume (cm$^3$/g)</th>
<th>Mean pore size (nm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FBC500</td>
<td>106.4</td>
<td>0.249</td>
<td>4.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SFBC500</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>0.0147</td>
<td>4.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO$_2$(500)/FBC500</td>
<td>13.8</td>
<td>0.0532</td>
<td>7.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO$_2$(500)/SFBC500</td>
<td>13.9</td>
<td>0.0350</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO$_2$(500)/SFBC600</td>
<td>15.4</td>
<td>0.0339</td>
<td>4.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO$_2$(500)/SFBC700</td>
<td>19.6</td>
<td>0.0389</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO$_2$(1000)/SFBC500</td>
<td>23.3</td>
<td>0.0352</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO$_2$(1500)/SFBC500</td>
<td>33.1</td>
<td>0.0540</td>
<td>3.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Copyright © 2022, ISSN 1978-2993
(TiO₂(500)/FBC500, and TiO₂(500)/SFBC500). The effect of the sulfonation process on catalyst support can be shown when TiO₂(500)/FBC500, and TiO₂(500)/SFBC500 were used as catalysts. The sulfonation process to catalyst support can increase the styrene conversion and benzaldehyde selectivity, which proven by styrene conversion and benzaldehyde selectivity over TiO₂(500)/SFBC500 was 17.1% and 86.0%, respectively; while TiO₂(500)/FBC500 gave only 5.3% and 3.0%, respectively. A sulfonate group is a polar group for H₂O₂ adsorption while carbonized hydroxyapatite is a nonpolar group for styrene adsorption. By lowering the mass transfer resistance, titania (the catalytic active sites) could convert styrene to benzaldehyde after both styrene and H₂O₂ adsorbed nearby. The varying carbonization temperature of catalyst support showed similar catalytic conversion in this study, evidenced by TiO₂(500)/SFBC500, TiO₂(500)/SFBC600, and TiO₂(500)/SFBC700 gave 17.1%, 18.5%, and 16%, respectively. An insignificant increase of surface area resulted in less impregnation of titania active sites onto the support surface. The effect of the varying amount of metal titanium that impregnated onto catalyst support can be investigated based on the styrene conversion when TiO₂(500)/SFBC500, TiO₂(1000)/SFBC500 and TiO₂(1500)/SFBC500 were used as catalysts. The increasing of the amount of metal titanium as the active site from 500 to 1500 μmol in the catalyst can increase styrene conversion from 17.1 to 51.1%. This result is in agreement with the previous research when styrene oxidation with H₂O₂ as an oxidant was catalyzed with titanium dioxide-supported sulfonated low-rank coal [18].

In order to check the reusability and stability of the catalyst which have been created, TiO₂(1500)/SFBC500 catalyst was selected for the assessment. TiO₂(1500)/SFBC500 catalyst was recovered and recycled for further reaction. The spent catalyst was washed with ethanol and then centrifuged thrice and drying at 110 °C in a vacuum oven overnight. The styrene conversion was used as a basis to compare every reaction cycle. As shown in Figure 6, it is seen that the decreasing of the styrene conversion for the respective first, second and third reaction cycles, i.e. 51.1, 48.4 and 40.8%. The decreasing of the styrene conversion might be due to the physical detachment of some catalyst powder during mechanical stirring. Moreover, another possible explanation for decreasing of the activity is the leaching out of titania active sites of the pores during the washing process by ethanol solvent [11,39]. The selectivity of benzaldehyde for the first, second, and third cycles are almost similar, i.e. 70.8, 70.1, and 75.6%, respectively. It is because the sulfonate groups of TiO₂(1500)/SFBC500 are still accessible for the high selectivity of benzaldehyde at room temperature. The effectiveness of sulfonate group to achieve high selectivity of benzaldehyde is evidenced in Figure 5(d), i.e. ~100% benzaldehyde selectivity. This can be explained that low concentration of titania en-

![Figure 5. Comparison of catalytic performance of (a) no catalyst, (b) TiO₂, (c) FBC500, (d) SFBC500, (e) TiO₂(500)/FBC500, (f) TiO₂(500)/SFBC500, (g) TiO₂(500)/SFBC600, (h) TiO₂(500)/SFBC700 (i) TiO₂(1000)/SFBC500 and (j) TiO₂(1500)/SFBC500 catalysts in the oxidation of styrene (5 mmol), 30% H₂O₂ (5 mmol) and catalyst (50 mg) at room temperature for 24 h.](image)

![Figure 6. The reuse of TiO₂(1500)/SFBC500 catalysts in the oxidation of styrene (5 mmol), 30% H₂O₂ (5 mmol) and catalyst (100 mg) at room temperature for 24 h.](image)
hances benzaldehyde selectivity. Nonetheless, the role of titania cannot be neglected as it could increase the conversion rate of styrene.

3.3 Kinetic Model: the Power-rate Law

The power-rate law is chosen as a kinetic model to determine the rate constant \( k \) and reaction behavior of a reaction using a function of temperature and concentration. A series kinetic experiment of styrene oxidation by \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) was performed at various temperatures (303, 313 and 323 K) with acetonitrile as solvent. The power-rate law can be represented as \([40,41]\):

\[
\frac{dC}{dt} = kK_1K_2\left[\text{catalyst}\right]\left[\text{styrene}\right]\left[\text{H}_2\text{O}_2\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}
\]

(1)

\[
\frac{d\left[C_0 - x\right]}{dt} = kK_1\left[\text{catalyst}\right]\left[\text{styrene}\right]\left[\text{H}_2\text{O}_2\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}
\]

(2)

\[
\frac{dx}{dt} = kK_1K_2\left[\text{catalyst}\right]\left[\text{styrene}\right]\left[\text{H}_2\text{O}_2\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}
\]

(3)

where, \( r_i \) is the reaction rate of the styrene oxidation (mol.cm\(^{-3}\).s\(^{-1}\)); \( k \) is the reaction rate constant (min\(^{-1}\)); \( C_i \) is styrene concentration after oxidation time \( t \) (mol.cm\(^{-3}\)); \( \text{catalyst} \) is the concentration of \( \text{TiO}_2(1500)/\text{SFBC500} \) catalyst and \( K_1 \) and \( K_2 \) are pre-equilibrium constants of the step in the Schema.

If the total catalyst concentration is expressed as \([\text{catalyst}]_T\) and considering the steady-state approach, which includes the concentration of all the intermediate catalyst species, the power-rate law can be given as:

\[
r_i = \frac{kK_1K_2\left[\text{catalyst}\right]\left[\text{styrene}\right]\left[\text{H}_2\text{O}_2\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}}{1 + K_1\left[\text{H}_2\text{O}_2\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} + K_2\left[\text{styrene}\right]\left[\text{H}_2\text{O}_2\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}}
\]

(4)

\[
\frac{[\text{catalyst}]}{r_i} = \frac{1 + K_1\left[\text{H}_2\text{O}_2\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} + K_2\left[\text{styrene}\right]\left[\text{H}_2\text{O}_2\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}}{kK_1K_2\left[\text{styrene}\right]\left[\text{H}_2\text{O}_2\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}}
\]

(5)

\[
\frac{[\text{catalyst}]}{r_i} = \frac{1}{kK_1K_0\left[\text{H}_2\text{O}_2\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} + kK_2} + \frac{1}{k}
\]

(6)

The value of rate constant \( k \) was determined from the intercept of the plot of \([\text{catalyst}]/r_i\) vs \(1/[\text{styrene}]\).

The kinetic data obtained from styrene oxidation with \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) as oxidant onto \( \text{TiO}_2(1500)/\text{SFBC500} \) are shown in Table 4. Based on the experiment results, the styrene oxidation over \( \text{TiO}_2(1500)/\text{SFBC500} \) catalyst follows first-order reaction model. The rate constant \( k \) at 303, 313, and 323 K were reported as \(0.000512, 0.00299, \) and \(0.00339\) min\(^{-1}\), respectively. The value of the rate constant can be used to predict the rate of reaction. Higher the rate constant, faster is the reaction rate. In details, it can be concluded that the rate of styrene oxidation increases with the reaction temperature.

The activation energy \( E \) of styrene oxidation with \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) as oxidant in the presence of \( \text{TiO}_2(1500)/\text{SFBC500} \) was investigated with the Arrhenius law, which is the equation written as \([42]\):

\[
\frac{dC}{dt} = kK_1K_2\left[\text{catalyst}\right]\left[\text{styrene}\right]\left[\text{H}_2\text{O}_2\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}
\]

Table 4. First order kinetics of styrene oxidation onto \( \text{TiO}_2(1500)/\text{SCFB500} \) catalysts.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Temp. (K)</th>
<th>Time (min)</th>
<th>Rate constant of First Order, ( k ) (min(^{-1}))</th>
<th>Activation Energy, ( E_a ) (kJ)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>303</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( x )</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0063</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( \frac{\Delta C}{\Delta t} )</td>
<td>0.8944</td>
<td>0.8882</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>313</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( x )</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0152</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( \frac{\Delta C}{\Delta t} )</td>
<td>0.8944</td>
<td>0.8792</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>323</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( x )</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0465</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( \frac{\Delta C}{\Delta t} )</td>
<td>0.8944</td>
<td>0.8479</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Conditions: 5 mmol styrene = 0.5806 mL; 5 mmol \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) = 0.5107 mL; Acetonitrile = 4.5 mL and catalyst = 100 mg).
where $k$, $A$ and $\exp[-E/(RT)]$ are rate constant, frequency factor and the Boltzmann expression for the fraction of systems having energy in excess of the value $E$ (the fraction of the reactant molecules), respectively.

Equation (7) can be rearranged to linear form as:

$$\ln k = \ln A - \frac{E}{RT}$$

The plotting $\ln k$ against $1/T$ with the slope is $-E/R$, so that the activation energy can be calculated. The activation energy of styrene oxidation with $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ as oxidant onto TiO$_2$(1500)/SFBC500 was 63.485 kJ.

It is interesting to compare the activation energy of titania sulfonated fish bone-derived carbon with the results of other studies. In general, the activation energy of chemical reactions in the presence of a solid catalyst in liquid-phase oxidation of styrene with hydrogen peroxide is in the range of 20-80 kJ [43]. The results obtained in this research work are in agreement with these results, suggesting that a low-cost fish bone-derived carbon can be used as a catalyst.

4. Conclusions

The styrene oxidation by $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ oxidant over titania fish bone-derived carbon has been demonstrated in this research. The sulfonation with $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$ (1 M) can transform the particulate shape of FBC500 into the cuboid shape of SFBC500. The catalysts surface area was not the determinant in this catalytic reaction. Regardless of the smaller surface area of TiO$_2$/SBFC, the titania impregnation and fish bone-derived carbon sulfonation improved styrene conversion and gave high benzaldehyde selectivity. The impregnation titania (TiO$_2$) onto fish bone-derived carbon changed the physical properties and catalytic activity. The amorphous structure of FBC and SFBC were changed to crystalline structure after the impregnation of titania. Higher the amount of titania impregnated onto sulfonated fish bone-derived carbon, higher the catalytic oxidation of styrene by $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$. Increasing of the carbonization temperature of sulfonated fish bone-derived carbon barely affected the catalytic activity. The kinetic data of styrene oxidation by $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ followed the first-order reaction model, whereby the reaction rate increases with increasing of reaction temperature. The activation energy of the styrene oxidation reaction over TiO$_2$(1500)/SFBC500 catalyst was reported as 63.5 kJ, falling within the range of other typical catalysts. To advocate the green materials synthesis and economic sustainability, this research work successfully demonstrated the styrene oxidation by engineered low-cost fish bone catalysts.
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