Liquid-phase Hydrogenation of Phenol to Cyclohexanone over Supported Palladium Catalysts
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Abstract

The ZSM-5, γ-Al2O3, SiO2 and MgO supported Pd-catalysts were prepared for the phenol hydrogenation to cyclohexanone in liquid-phase. The natures of these catalysts were characterized by XRD, N2 adsorption-desorption analysis, H2-TPR, CO2-TPD and NH3-TPD. The catalytic performance of the supported Pd-catalyst for phenol hydrogenation to cyclohexanone is closely related to nature of the support and the size of Pd nanoparticles. The Pd/MgO catalyst which possesses higher basicity shows higher cyclohexanone selectivity, but lower phenol conversion owing to the lower specific surface area. The Pd/SiO2 catalyst prepared by precipitation gives higher cyclohexanone selectivity and phenol conversion, due to the moderate amount of Lewis acidic sites, and the smaller size and higher dispersion of Pd nanoparticles on the surface. Under the reaction temperature of 135 °C and H2 pressure of 1 MPa, after reacting for 3.5 h, the phenol conversion of 71.62% and the cyclohexanone selectivity of 90.77% can be obtained over 0.5 wt% Pd/SiO2 catalyst. Copyright © 2016 BCREC GROUP. All rights reserved
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1. Introduction

Cyclohexanone is a key raw material in the production of both capro lactam for nylon 6 and adipic acid for nylon 66. In industry, cyclohexanone is usually produced via hydrogenation of phenol [1,2]. This route may take place in one-step (direct) fashion or via the formation of cyclohexanol followed by dehydrogenation (two-step process). The one-step and selective hydrogenation of phenol is certainly greener and therefore preferable, but cyclohexanone is rather active and may be easily further hydrogenated to cyclohexanol or other byproducts [3]. To achieve high efficiency in the “one-step” process, the development of a functional catalyst with the special property to control the selective hydrogenation is the key point. Various carriers supported Pd catalysts were developed for phenol hydrogenation, such as Pd/MgO [4, 5], Pd/Al2O3 [6,7], Pd/AC(CNT) [8,9], Pd-CeB/hydrotalcite [1,2], etc. The nature of the support plays an important role in the activity and selectivity of Pd catalysts for the hydrogenation of phenol [10]. It is reported that a basic support favours cyclohexanone formation than an acid support, such as Pd/MgO provided a
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higher selectivity to cyclohexanone compared to Pd/Al₂O₃ [10]. Pd supported on calcined Mg/Al hydrotalcites exhibit high reactivity and selectivity to cyclohexanone and attributed these performance to the presence of strong basic sites [11,12]. However, a acid support also can promote this reaction [7,13,14], especially the Lewis acids can activate aromatic rings and in turn improve the phenol conversion and cyclohexanone selectivity [15]. At the same time, the acid sites also enrich the electron density of Pd, enhance the desorption of phenoxy species and inhibit further hydrogenation of the intermediate product [8,14]. These contradictory results arouse us to investigate the catalytic performance for phenol hydrogenation on different supported Pd catalysts. ZSM-5, SiO₂ and Al₂O₃ are commonly used as the acidic supports and MgO is used as the basic support in catalytic reactions. In this work, we prepared Pd/ZSM-5, Pd/SiO₂, Pd/Al₂O₃, and Pd/MgO catalysts with a 0.5 wt% Pd loading relative to the mass of the support, aiming at the investigation of the the effects of the support properties on the phenol conversion and cyclohexanone selectivity.

2. Materials and Method

2.1. Materials

ZSM-5 and γ-Al₂O₃ was purchased from the Catalyst Plant of Nankai University, China. The acidic silica sol (containing about 25 wt% SiO₂) was purchased from Sinopharm Chemical Reagent Co. Ltd, China. MgO was prepared by calcination of Mg(OH)₂ at 600 °C for 4 h. Before impregnation these supporters were calcined at 550 °C for 4 h except silica sol.

2.2. Catalyst preparation

Pd-catalysts with a 0.5 wt% Pd loading relative to the mass of the support were prepared by incipient-wetness impregnation method. This general procedure was slightly modified, the volume of the solution being twice the one of the porous volume of the supports in order to impregnate both internal and external surfaces. For Pd/ZSM-5 and Pd/Al₂O₃ catalysts, before impregnation, the supports ZSM-5 and Al₂O₃ were calcined at 450 °C. For Pd/MgO catalyst, the support MgO was prepared by the following steps: the pH of the 1 M Mg(NO₃)₂ solution was adjusted to about 10 by adding 0.1 M ammonia water, forming Mg(OH)₂. After filtration and drying, the resulted Mg(OH)₂ was converted to MgO support by calcination at 550 °C in an oven for 4 h. The 62.5 mg of Pd(NO₃)₂·2H₂O were dissolved in the calculated volume of distilled water and several drops of HNO₃ were added until Pd(NO₃)₂ were completely dissolved. Then 5 g of the above calcined supports were put into the above solution for impregnation. The resulted suspensions were stirred at room temperature for 12 h, then were evaporated at 85 °C till the solutions become dry. The resulting powders were dried at 80 °C for 12 h and calcined at 550 °C in an oven for 4 h, finally Pd/ZSM-5, Pd/Al₂O₃ and Pd/MgO catalysts were obtained. In case of Pd/SiO₂ catalyst, it was prepared using acidic silica sol (containing 25 wt% SiO₂) as the precursor of SiO₂. 62.5 mg of Pd(NO₃)₂·2H₂O were dissolved in 10 mL of distilled water and several drops of HNO₃ were added until Pd(NO₃)₂ were completely dissolved, then the solution was put into 20 g of the acidic silica sol under stirring. The resulted solution were stirred at room temperature for 12 h. After drying and calcination as described for other catalysts, the Pd/SiO₂ catalyst was obtained.

The catalysts were reduced by hydrazine hydrate before using for the activity test. The hydrazine hydrate was slowly added into the suspension of catalysts and distilled water under stirring at room temperature. The hydrazine hydrate/Pd molar ratio was 1.5. The reduction process was last for 4 h.

2.3. Catalyst characterization

X-ray powder diffraction (XRD) patterns were recorded on a D08 Advance powder diffractometer (Bruker) using a Cu-Kα radiation source operated at 40 kV and 200 mA in the 2θ range from 5 to 70°. The BET specific surface area and pore size distributions of the samples were measured by the Versorb 2800P surface area and pore size analyzer (Gold APP Instrument Corporation China). Temperature programmed reduction (TPR) of the catalysts was carried out in a quartz tube reactor with a mixture of H₂ and N₂ (H₂/N₂ = 5/95) flowing at 30 cm³/min. 50 mg of the catalyst was heated from room temperature to 800 °C at the rate of 10 °C/min. The water produced in the reaction was removed by driving the exit stream through a tube containing silica gel and 5Å zeolite. The dried outlet gas was analyzed by a thermal conductivity detector (TCD) and the H₂ content was recorded continuously. Temperature programmed desorption (TPD) of CO₂ and NH₃ were carried out on 200 mg of the catalyst sample under helium as the carrier gas (flow rate 30 cm³/min). Prior to CO₂ and NH₃ adsorption, all catalysts were heated up to 450...
2.4. Catalytic activity test

Catalytic activity was tested in a 100 ml autoclave. The 1 g of catalysts and 20 ml raw materials (ethanol phenol mass ratio 1:4) were put into the autoclave. The sealed autoclave was purged 2 times with N₂ in order to remove air, and purged 5 times with H₂ in order to remove N₂ completely. The reaction was carried out at 135 °C and 1.0 MPa under the stirring speed of 800 rpm. During reaction, samples were withdrawn from the reaction mixture at intervals and were analyzed by gas chromatography (SP-2100, Beijing Rayleigh analytic Co, Ltd) equipped with a capillary column (AT FFAP 30 m × 0.32 mm × 0.5 µm) and a flame ionization detector. The phenol conversion (Xₘ) and the of the products selectivity (Sᵢ) were defined as follows [14]:

\[
Phenol \text{ conversion } (Xₘ) = \frac{\text{phenol}_{\text{added-mol}} - \text{phenol}_{\text{remain-mol}}}{\text{phenol}_{\text{added-mol}}} \tag{1}
\]

\[
\text{Product } i \text{ selectivity } (Sᵢ) = \frac{\text{Product } i_{\text{formed-mol}}}{\text{phenol}_{\text{added-mol}} - \text{phenol}_{\text{remain-mol}}} \tag{2}
\]

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Catalyst characterization

The surface area, pore volume and pore diameter distribution of the obtained catalysts are determined by the N₂ adsorption-desorption method. Figure 1 shows the N₂ adsorption-desorption isotherms and pore size distribution of catalysts.

Pd/ZSM-5 isotherm exhibits nearly identical isotherm, which belongs to the type I isotherms (IUPAC), suggesting the microporous structure of Pd/ZSM-5 catalyst [16]. The pore size distribution curve in Figure 1(b) indicates the Pd/ZSM-5 possesses narrow pore size distribution and its average pore size is centered 0.43 nm. The BET specific surface area and mesopore volume determined by the HK model for Pd/ZSM-5 sample are measured to be 305.2 m²/g and 0.19 cm³/g, respectively.

The Pd/Al₂O₃, Pd/SiO₂ and Pd/MgO catalysts provide the type IV isotherms with distinct hysteresis loops, indicating they possess mesopore structure. The BET specific surface area and mesopore volumes calculated by the BJH model are listed in Table 1. The specific surface area decreases in the following order: Pd/ZSM-5 > Pd/SiO₂ > Pd/Al₂O₃ > Pd/MgO.

Figure 2 shows the XRD patterns of Pd catalysts after reduction. It is noteworthy that only reflections from the supports were observed. There is no detectable palladium phase presents in the XRD patterns, due to very low Pd loadings [13]. When the Pd/MgO catalyst was reduced by hydrazine hydrate in aqueous solution, the main phase was Mg(OH)₂, which is formed from MgO and H₂O during the aqueous reduction [5].

TEM images of the four catalysts are shown in Figure 3. In case of the Pd/Al₂O₃, Pd/ZSM-5 and Pd/MgO, which are prepared by impregnation, Pd nanoparticles agglomerated to clusters. For Pd/SiO₂ catalyst, which is prepared by precipitation, small sized Pd
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Figure 1. Adsorption desorption isotherms (a) and pore distribution curves (b) of catalysts
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nanoparticles well disperse on the surface of SiO$_2$.

The TPR profiles, obtained from the TCD output, are shown in Figure 4, where hydrogen consumption appears as a positive peak and hydrogen desorption as a negative peak. The low-temperature negative peak ($T_{\text{max}}$ below 105 °C) that appears in TPR profiles for the four catalysts in Figure 4 is entirely reproducible and is diagnostic of a metallic palladium component during the calcination step. This can be attributed to H$_2$ release resulting from the decomposition of Pd hydride, which is formed by H$_2$ absorption [5]. This result shows that Pd component exists in the samples though it is not detected by XRD. The Pd/γ-Al$_2$O$_3$ and Pd/ZSM-5 catalysts provide a second negative peak around 200 °C, which is originated from the hydrogen spillover on the supports [17]. The reduction of PdO to Pd can be conducted at room temperature [17,18], and this reaction may well occur concomitantly with hydrogen consumption. The positive peak centered at temperature of 430 °C in the TPR curve of the Pd/ZSM-5 catalyst is originated from the reduction of Pd oxides which interact strongly with the ZSM-5 support. This strong interaction was probably formed during the calcination of as-synthesized zeolite-supported Pd catalyst, Pd$^2+$ ions as the main species will be stabilized in certain sites of a zeolite channel or external surface. Zeolitic protons as the Brønsted sites can enhance the interaction between the metal and support by chemically anchoring small Pd species, therefore making them more difficult to be reduced [19]. This strong interaction also was observed on Pd/Al$_2$O$_3$ catalyst, by the evidence of a broad positive peak ranging from 300 °C to 700 °C presented in the TPR curve. Two distinct positive peaks at temperature above 400 °C are found in the TPR profile of Pd/MgO catalyst. These peaks are attributed to the formation of Mg$_2$H and Mg$_2$OH species on the MgO under H$_2$ [5], resulting in the consumption of hydrogen. There are no distinct positive peaks in the TPR

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Samples</th>
<th>Specific surface area (m$^2$/g)</th>
<th>Pore volume (cm$^3$/g)</th>
<th>Pore diameter (nm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pd/ZSM-5</td>
<td>305.15</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pd/Al$_2$O$_3$</td>
<td>209.68</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>7.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pd/SiO$_2$</td>
<td>237.93</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>8.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pd/MgO</td>
<td>48.92</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>32.60</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* The pore volume and pore diameter was calculated by the HK model for Pd/ZSM-5, while by the BJH model for other catalysts.

Figure 2. TEM images of catalysts: (a) Pd/ZSM-5, (b) Pd/Al$_2$O$_3$, (c) Pd/MgO, (d) Pd/SiO$_2$

Figure 3. XRD patterns of catalysts after reduction
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profiles of Pd/SiO\textsubscript{2}, illustrating that the Pd oxides/hybrids were completely reduced at low temperature, owing to the small size and higher dispersion of Pd on the surface of SiO\textsubscript{2}.

The acidic and basic properties were determined by NH\textsubscript{3}-TPD and CO\textsubscript{2}-TPD, respectively, as shown in Figure 5. The acidic sites can be classified as weak (150-250 °C), medium (250-350 °C) and strong (350-450 °C) on the basis of the desorption temperature [20]. It can be assumed that the NH\textsubscript{3} desorption in the low-temperature (LT) range is attributed to the presence of physisorbed NH\textsubscript{3} species and/or ammonia adsorbed on very weak Brønsted acid sites, whereas the high-temperature (HT) maximum corresponds to ammonia leaving stronger Lewis sites [21].

NH\textsubscript{3}-TPD profile of Pd/ZSM-5 shows two desorption peaks, indicating the existence of two acid sites. The low-temperature peak is found between 138 °C and 300 °C and is attributed to desorption of weakly bound ammonia. The high-temperature desorption peak is found above 400 °C and is attributed to desorption of ammonia from the strong Brønsted (B) and Lewis (L) acid sites, which are related to framework Al atoms charge compensated by acidic protons and to extra-framework Al atoms, respectively [22,23]. These strong Brønsted and Lewis acid sites are believed to be the main catalytic centers.

The NH\textsubscript{3}-TPD curve of Pd/SiO\textsubscript{2} presents a strong low-temperature peak, showing that the weak Brønsted acid sites mainly exist in the Pd/SiO\textsubscript{2} catalyst. The NH\textsubscript{3}-TPD curve of Pd/γ-Al\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} provides a LT desorption peak and a broad HT desorption peak, indicating that both B and L acid sites exist in Pd/γ-Al\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} catalyst. While there is no distinct NH\textsubscript{3} desorption peak in the NH\textsubscript{3}-TPD curve of Pd/MgO, suggesting that no/less acid sites exist in Pd/MgO catalyst. Based on the results of NH\textsubscript{3}-TPD, the order of acidity for the catalysts can be described as Pd/ZSM-5 > Pd/γ-Al\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} > Pd/SiO\textsubscript{2} > Pd/MgO.

In CO\textsubscript{2}-TPD, the low-temperature desorption peak corresponds to CO\textsubscript{2} adsorbed on the weak basic sites (OH– groups) while the peak in the intermediate temperature range and at high temperature represent CO\textsubscript{2} adsorptions on the medium strength (O\textsuperscript{2−} in metal and O\textsuperscript{2−} pairs) and strong strength basic (O\textsuperscript{2−} ions in low coordination) sites, respectively. The three kinds of bases exhibit weak, medium and strong basicity, which corresponds to the CO\textsubscript{2} desorption temperature in the range of 25-200 °C, 200-400 °C and above 400 °C, respectively [24]. The CO\textsubscript{2}-TPD curve of Pd/MgO displays three distinct desorption peaks, indicating that a variety of basic sites with different strengths are presented on the surface of the MgO samples. The CO\textsubscript{2}-TPD curve of Pd/γ-Al\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} shows a comparable strong desorption at low-temperature of 120 °C and a weak and broad high-temperature ranging from 380 to 700 °C. Both Pd/ZSM-5 and Pd/SiO\textsubscript{2} catalyst only presents a weak and broad high-temperature peak. Thus, in terms of the strength and number, the basicity of the four catalysts can be arranged in the following
order: Pd/MgO > Pd/γ-Al₂O₃ > Pd/ZSM-5 > Pd/SiO₂.

3.2. Catalytic activity test

Liquid-phase phenol hydrogenation was carried out on these catalysts, the results are listed in Table 2. It can be observed that the selectivity of cyclohexanone decrease in the order: Pd/MgO > Pd/SiO₂ > Pd/ZSM-5 > Pd/γ-Al₂O₃.

The catalytic activity is usually influenced by the properties of a catalyst, such as the specific surface area, pore size, the metal loading, acidic and basic sites, etc. It is reported that, for phenol hydrogenation to cyclohexanol, the higher the basicity (or the lower the acidity) of the catalyst, the higher the activity and the selectivity to cyclohexanone [6, 10,12,25]. Among these four catalysts in this work, Pd/MgO shows the highest basicity and the highest cyclohexanone selectivity. Pd/ZSM-5 and Pd/γ-Al₂O₃ have lower basic sites, thus provide lower phenol conversion and cyclohexanone selectivity. The Pd/γ-Al₂O₃ shows higher basicity than that of Pd/ZSM-5, but the cyclohexanone selectivity shows the opposite result. NH₃-TPD results show that Pd/ZSM-5 possesses more acidic sites, especially the medium and strong acid sites which are belonged to strong B and L acids, than Pd/γ-Al₂O₃. Jiang et al. reported that the Lewis acid could suppress the hydrogenation of cyclohexanone to cyclohexanol [15]. Thus the higher cyclohexanone selectivity observed on the Pd/ZSM-5 is attributed to the more Lewis acidic sites on the surface. The more acidic sites on the surface also resulted in the formation of cyclohexane, as indicated in Table 2.

The exception can be found in Pd/SiO₂ catalyst, which possess the lowest basicity among the four catalysts but shows the relative higher phenol conversion and cyclohexanone selectivity. This may be explained by the higher dispersion of Pd on the SiO₂ surface, that is, the highly dispersed Pd nanoparticles significantly improve the performance of the catalyst [26]. In this work, Pd/SiO₂ catalyst was prepared by precipitation, which is different from impregnation used in the preparation for other catalysts. It is common acceptable that precipitation method results in higher dispersion of metal active sites on the surface of the support than impregnation. Therefore, the higher dispersion of Pd on the surface of Pd/SiO₂ resulted in the higher selectivity.

The phenol conversion, as shown in Table 2, decreases in the order: Pd/SiO₂ > Pd/ZSM-5 > Pd/γ-Al₂O₃ > Pd/MgO. Higher phenol conversion was obtained on Pd/SiO₂ catalyst. This is attributed to the higher dispersion of Pd nanoparticles on the surface of SiO₂. In the case of Pd/ZSM-5, Pd/γ-Al₂O₃ and Pd/MgO catalysts, the lower phenol conversion is originated from the lower dispersion and the bigger Pd nanoparticles formed by agglomeration. In principle, the specific surface area and the nanoparticle size of a heterogeneous catalyst can have an important effect on the catalytic activity. As shown in Table 1, the specific surface area for Pd/ZSM-5, Pd/γ-Al₂O₃ and Pd/MgO catalysts decreases in the order: Pd/ZSM-5 > Pd/γ-Al₂O₃ > Pd/MgO, resulting the phenol conversion on these catalysts follows this order.

3.3. Mechanism

As shown in Figure 6, the hydrogenation of phenol produces cyclohexanol (step I), as an intermediate product, that subsequently gives cyclohexanol (via hydrogenation, step III), or cyclohexanone (via isomerization, step II) which can be converted into cyclohexanol via hydrogenation (step IV). The cyclohexanol can further dehydrate to cyclohexane (step V) [2, 27]. The reported results indicate that phenol is adsorbed and activated on the support while H₂ is activated on the Pd and produces the hydrogenation of the adsorbed phenol. However, the hydrogenation of phenol yields cyclohexanone and cyclohexanol depending that the adsorption of phenol occurs through the hydroxyl or the aromatic ring, respectively.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Samples</th>
<th>Conversion (%)</th>
<th>Cyclohexanone</th>
<th>Selectivity (%)</th>
<th>Cyclohexanol</th>
<th>Cyclohexane</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pd/ZSM-5</td>
<td>63.54</td>
<td>76.31</td>
<td>16.19</td>
<td>7.50</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pd/Al₂O₃</td>
<td>54.58</td>
<td>68.31</td>
<td>30.75</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pd/SiO₂</td>
<td>71.62</td>
<td>90.77</td>
<td>9.23</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pd/MgO</td>
<td>46.82</td>
<td>91.33</td>
<td>8.67</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Catalytic performances of catalysts
The phenol adsorbed in a non-planar fashion over basic sites gives rise to cyclohexanone while that adsorbed on acidic sites in the co-planar fashion leads to the formation of cyclohexanol and cyclohexane [28].

For the reactions catalyzed by the reduced Pd/MgO, phenol molecules may interact relatively strongly with the surface (through hydrogen bonding) to result in non-planar adsorption. The benzene ring of phenol may then be partially hydrogenated to the cyclohexenol, which can rapidly isomerize to give cyclohexanone. Since cyclohexanone interacts weakly to the surface, it leaves the catalytic site quickly and is replaced by a more strongly binding phenol molecule, avoiding further hydrogenation to cyclohexanol. But the lower specific surface area limits the activity of Pd/MgO, resulting the lower phenol conversion.

In the case of phenol hydrogenation on Pd catalysts supported on the acidic supports, Pd/ZSM-5, Pd/β-Al₂O₃ and Pd/SiO₂, in a first step, a co-planar adsorption orientation of phenol on the supports is considered. Then the aromatic ring of phenol will interact with Pd through the formation of n-complex [27]. In a second step, the benzene ring is partially hydrogenated to cyclohexenol that quickly isomerizes to the cyclohexanone. The inhibition of the cyclohexanone hydrogenation is inhibited in presence of Lewis acid sites [15]. However, the strong Lewis acidic sites can make the phenol interact strongly with the supports, as a result cyclohexanone further hydrogenates to cyclohexanol and cyclohexane. Pd/ZSM-5 and Pd/β-Al₂O₃ presented more Lewis acidic sites, as a result the main product cyclohexanone converted to cyclohexanol and reduced the cyclohexanone selectivity, while Pd/SiO₂ catalyst possessed less Lewis acidic sites, thus higher cyclohexanone selectivity was obtained. Moreover, the size and the dispersion of Pd on the support surface also affected the catalytic activity. The smaller size and higher dispersion of Pd benefit the formation of the π-complex between benzene ring of phenol and Pd. Here, Pd/SiO₂ prepared by precipitation is considered that has smaller size and higher dispersion of Pd than Pd/ZSM-5 and Pd/β-Al₂O₃ which were prepared by impregnation, thus Pd/SiO₂ provided higher activity and cyclohexanone selectivity.

4. Conclusions

Based on the results, it is can be seen that the catalytic performance of a supported Pd catalyst for phenol hydrogenation to cyclohexanone is closely related to nature of the support and the size and dispersion of Pd nanoparticles. Higher basicity is beneficial to the non-planar adsorption of phenol on the surfaces of the support, in turn to the formation of cyclohexanone. Moderate amount of Lewis acidic sites can improve the cyclohexanone selectivity. The smaller size and higher dispersion of Pd promote the formation of the n-complex between benzene ring of phenol and Pd nanoparticles, and finally gives rise to the fast and selective hydrogenation to cyclohexanone. Therefore, the phenol conversion and cyclohexanone selectivity are expected to be improved by the promotion of the basicity and the preparation method for the catalyst.
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